arXiv:0805.3927v1 [math.AG] 26 May 2008

ON FANO THREEFOLDS WITH CANONICAL GORENSTEIN SINGULARITIES

ILYA KARZHEMANOV

Abstract. We classify three-dimensional Fano varieties with canonical Gorenstein singularities of degree bigger than 64.

1. Introduction

In the present article we study three-dimensional Fano varieties[1] with canonical Gorenstein singularities. We consider such varieties from the view point of the value of their anti-canonical degree.

It follows from the main result in [2] that the anti-canonical degree of Fano threefolds with canonical Gorenstein singularities is bounded. Under the stronger restrictions on singularities there is a precise estimate:

Theorem 1.1 ([12], [13], [22], [20]). *Let X be a non-singular Fano threefold. Then $-K_X^3 \leqslant 64$ with equality only for $\mathbb{P}^3$.*

For Fano threefolds with terminal Gorenstein singularities we have the following result:

Theorem 1.2 ([23]). *Let X be a Fano threefold with terminal Gorenstein singularities. Then X can be deformed to a non-singular Fano threefold. In particular, $-K_X^3 \leqslant 64$.*

Much more general result in the direction of getting a sharp bound for the degree of Fano threefolds with canonical Gorenstein singularities was obtained in [26]:

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a Fano threefold with canonical Gorenstein singularities. Then $-K_X^3 \leqslant 72$ with equality only for $\mathbb{P}(3,1,1,1)$ and $\mathbb{P}(6,4,1,1)$.*

It was conjectured in the paper [26] that for the Fano threefold X with only cDV singularities the estimate $-K_X^3 \leqslant 64$ holds. In the present article we will prove the following

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a Fano threefold with canonical Gorenstein singularities such that $64 < -K_X^3 \leqslant 70$. Then X is one of the following:*

- *The image under birational projection of the anti-canonically embedded Fano threefold $\mathbb{P}(6,4,1,1) \subset \mathbb{P}^{38}$ from a singular point on $\mathbb{P}(6,4,1,1)$ of type* cDV*. In this case $-K_X^3 = 70$ and X has non-*cDV *singularities;*
- *The anti-canonical image of the rational scroll* $\mathrm{Proj}\,(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$*. In this case $-K_X^3 = 66$ and X has non-*cDV *singularities.*

Remark 1.5. Threefold X with $-K_X^3 = 70$ was found by I. Cheltsov.

It follows easily from the Riemann–Roch formula on the Fano threefold X that $-K_X^3$ is an even number. Thus, Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 exaust all Fano threefolds with canonical Gorenstein singularities of degree bigger than 64. Moreover, since threefolds $\mathbb{P}(3,1,1,1)$ and $\mathbb{P}(6,4,1,1)$ have singularities worse than cDV, from Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 we deduce

Corollary 1.6. *Let X be a Fano threefold with only* cDV *singularities. Then $-K_X^3 \leqslant 64$.*

Corollary 1.6 appears to be a justification for the following conjecture in [26]:

The work was partially supported by RFFI grant No. 08-01-00395-a and grant N.Sh.-1987.2008.1.

[1] We assume that all varieties are projective, normal and defined over $\mathbb{C}$.

Conjecture 1.7. *Let X be a Fano threefold with only* cDV *singularities. Then X has a smoothing by a flat deformation.*

Let us give the following

Definition 1.8. Threefold U with canonical singularities is called a *Fano–Enriques threefold* if $-K_U$ is not Cartier but $-K_U \equiv H$ for some ample Cartier divisor H.

Remark 1.9. The study of threefolds possessing an ample Cartier divisor which is a non-singular Enriques surface was initiated by G. Fano in [9] (see also [5] and [6]). It is easy to see (see for example [4]) that if such a threefold has canonical singularities, then it is a Fano–Enriques threefold. On the other hand, according to [25, Proposition 3.1] and [25, Proposition 3.3] general element $S \in |H|$ on Fano–Enriques threefold U has only Du Val singularities and the minimal resolution of S is an Enriques surface. Moreover, if U has isolated singularities and $H^3 \neq 2$, then general element in $|H|$ is a non-singular Enriques surface (see [25, Corollary 3.7]).

According to [4] the equivalence $2(K_U + S) \sim 0$ holds. Thus, on U we have $-K_U \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} H$. Moreover, by [3, Proposition 14] if $-K_U \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} nH'$ for some integer $n > 0$ and ample Cartier divisor H', then $n = 1$. In particular, $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds of Fano index 1 form a subclass of Fano–Enriques threefolds. $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds of Fano index 1 with cyclic quotient singularities were classified in [1] and [31].

Let U be a Fano–Enriques threefold such that $-K_U \equiv H$ for some Cartier divisor H. By [25, Lemma 2.2] the value $g := \frac{1}{2}H^3 + 1$ is an integer number. Let us call it the *genus* of U. The problem of genus bound for Fano–Enriques threefolds was investigated in [27] and [16]. In [27] the sharp bound $g \leqslant 17$ was proved under the assumption that U has only isolated singularities.

In our setting we have

Corollary 1.10. *Let U be a Fano–Enriques threefold of genus g. Then $g \leqslant 17$.*

Proof. Since $2(K_U+S) \sim 0$ for $S \in |H|$ (see Remark 1.9), we can take a global log canonical cover $\pi : X \longrightarrow U$ with respect to $K_U + S$ (see [15]). Morphism π has degree 2 and the equivalence $\pi^*(K_U+S) \sim 0$ holds. In particular, X is a Fano threefold with canonical Gorenstein singularities and degree $-K_X^3 = 4g - 4$. Moreover, π is ramified precisely in that points on U where K_U is not Cartier. Since $-K_U \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} S$ and S is normal, the set of such points is finite. Hence the Galous involution of π induces on X an automorphism τ of order 2 such that τ acts freely in codimension 2.

Suppose that $g > 17$. Then we get $-K_X^3 > 64$. Since $-K_X^3$ is divisible by 4, from Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 we deduce that $X = \mathbb{P}(3,1,1,1)$ or $\mathbb{P}(6,4,1,1)$.

If $X = \mathbb{P}(3,1,1,1)$, then the linear system $|-\frac{1}{2}K_X|$ gives an embedding of X in $\mathbb{P}^{10}$ such that the image of X is the cone over del Pezzo surface of degree 9. Moreover, since $|-\frac{1}{2}K_X|$ is τ-invariant, there is a τ-invariant hyperplane section S of $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{10}$ not passing through the vertex. Then $S \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ and hence τ fixes infinitely many points on S which is impossible.

Thus, $X = \mathbb{P}(6,4,1,1)$. Take weighted projective coordinates x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 on X such that $\deg x_0 = 6$, $\deg x_1 = 4$, $\deg x_2 = \deg x_3 = 1$ and x_i is an eigen function with an eigen value ± 1 with respect to τ, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4$. If both x_0, x_1 (x_2, x_3, respectively) have the same eigen value, then the curve given by equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ ($x_0 = x_1 = 0$, respectively) consists of τ-fixed points which is impossible. Thus, we can assume that τ is given by the following formula

$$[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3] \mapsto [x_0 : -x_1 : x_2 : -x_3].$$

But again the curve given by equations $x_1 = x_3 = 0$ consists of τ-fixed points. The obtained contradiction completes the proof of Corollary 1.10. □

We prove Theorem 1.4 following the ideas of [26]. To be more precise, for the given Fano threefold X satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.4 we take a *terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification*

$\varphi : Y \longrightarrow X$. Here morphism φ is crepant and threefold Y has terminal factorial singularities. In particular, $-K_Y^3 = -K_X^3$ and thus all the considerations can be lifted to Y.

For Y the complete description of K-negative extremal contractions can be obtained. With this description at hand in Section 3 we find X with $-K_X^3 = 70$ and non-cDV singularities and reduce the proof of Theorem 1.4 to the case when there are no K-negative extremal contractions of Y to threefolds with terminal factorial singularities having nef and big anti-canonical divisor. This and results in [26] imply that the initial Fano threefold X is singular along a line or contains a plane.

In Section 4 following [26] we obtain a Mori fibre space W with a birational map $W \dashrightarrow X$ given by the linear subsystem in $|-K_W|$. It is straightforward from [26] that W is not a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefold.

In Section 5 for W a del Pezzo fibration we find X with $-K_X^3 = 66$ and non-cDV singularities and reduce the proof of Theorem 1.4 to the case when W is a conic bundle. Following [26] we will exclude this case in Section 6.

I would like to thank I. Cheltsov, Yu. G. Prokhorov and C. Shramov for their numerous remarks and attention to the present paper which led to the correction of the gap in the older version. I also would like to express my gratitude to V. A. Iskovskikh for stimulating discussions.

2. Basic notions and statements

We use standard notions and facts from the Minimal Model Program (see for example [18]). Recall that algebraic variety X is called a *Fano variety* if divisor $-K_X$ is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor. If divisor $-K_X$ is only nef and big, then X is called a *weak Fano variety.*

In the present article we consider three-dimensional (weak) Fano varieties only with (no more than) canonical Gorenstein singularities.

Let X be a Fano threefold. From the Riemann–Roch Formula and Kawamata–Vieweg Vanishing Theorem it is easy to find the dimension of the anti-canonical linear system on X (see [?]):

$$\dim|-K_X| = -\frac{1}{2}K_X^3 + 2$$

The constant $-K_X^3$ is called the *degree* of X and $g := -\frac{1}{2}K_X^3 + 1$ is the *genus* of X. Thus, $\dim|-K_X| = g + 1$ and $-K_X^3 = 2g - 2$. In particular, $-K_X^3$ is an even number and for X satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.4 we have $-K_X^3 \in \{66, 68, 70\}$.

Furthermore, we have

Theorem 2.1 ([30]). *A general member $L \in |-K_X|$ has only Du Val singularities.*

Proposition 2.2 ([14]). *In the above notation, if* $\mathrm{Bs}|-K_X| \neq \emptyset$*, then* $-K_X^3 \leqslant 22$.

For the movable anti-canonical linear system $|-K_X|$ let us denote by $\varphi_{|-K_X|} : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ the corresponding rational map.

Proposition 2.3 ([24, Theorem 1.5]). *In the above notation, if $\varphi_{|-K_X|}$ is a morphism which is not an embedding, then $-K_X^3 \leqslant 40$.*

Proposition 2.4 ([24, Theorem 1.6]). *In the above notation, if $\varphi_{|-K_X|}$ is an embedding and $\varphi_{|-K_X|}(X)$ is not an intersection of quadrics, then $-K_X^3 \leqslant 54$.*

Remark 2.5. From Propositions 2.2–2.4 we deduce that for the given Fano threefold X with $-K_X^3 \in \{66, 68, 70\}$ the linear system $|-K_X|$ is free and determines an embedding $\varphi_{|-K_X|} : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ with $g \in \{34, 35, 36\}$ such that $X_{2g-2} := \varphi_{|-K_X|}(X)$ is an intersection of quadrics.

Now let us consider several birational properties of the given Fano threefold X.

Theorem 2.6 ([18]). *Let V be a threefold with only canonical singularities. Then there exists a threefold W with only terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities and a birational contraction $\phi : W \longrightarrow V$ such that $K_W = \phi^*K_V$. Such W (or ϕ) is called a* terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification of *V.*

Remark 2.7. In the notation of Theorem 2.6, let W and W' be two terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modifications of V. Then W and W' are relative minimal models over V (see [18]). Thus, the birational map $W \dashrightarrow W'$ is either an isomorphism or a composition of flops over V (see [17, Theorem 4.3]).

Applying Theorem 2.6 to X we obtain a birational contraction $\varphi : Y \longrightarrow X$ such that $K_Y = \varphi^*K_X$ and Y is a weak Fano threefold with terminal Gorenstein $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities and $-K_Y^3 = -K_X^3$.

Furthermore, we have

Lemma 2.8 ([15, Lemma 5.1]). *In the above notation, threefold Y has factorial singularities.*

Remark 2.9. Conversely, for any weak Fano threefold Y with terminal factorial singularities its multiple anti-canonical image $X = \varphi_{|-nK_Y|}(Y)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a Fano threefold such that $K_Y = \varphi^*K_X$. In particular, X has canonical Gorenstein singularities (see [15]).

Proposition 2.10 ([26, Lemmas 4.2, 4.3]). *In the above notation, the Mori cone $\overline{NE}(Y)$ is polyhedral and generated by contractible extremal rays (i.e., rays R for which there exists a contraction $\varphi_R : Y \longrightarrow Y'$ onto the normal variety Y' such that the image of a curve C is a point if and only if $[C] \in R$ for the class of numerical equivalence of C).*

Remark 2.11. From Theorem 1.2 for the given Fano threefold X with $-K_X^3 \in \{66, 68, 70\}$ we deduce that $X \neq Y$. In particular, we have $\rho(Y) > 1$ for the Picard number of Y. Hence according to Proposition 2.10 the anti-canonical divisor $-K_Y$ defines a face of the Mori cone $\overline{NE}(Y)$ and $\varphi : Y \longrightarrow X$ is the contraction of this face.

Example 2.12. Let us consider the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $Y = \mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3))$ over $\mathbb{P}^2$. For the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_Y(1)|$ determines a birational contraction $\varphi : Y \longrightarrow X$ of the negative section on Y. Since $K_Y \sim \mathcal{O}_Y(2)$, we have $K_Y = \varphi^*K_X$. This implies that X is a Fano threefold with canonical Gorenstein singularities (see Remark 2.9) and Y is a terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification of X. Moreover, by construction X has index 2 and degree 72. It then follows from Theorem 1.3 that $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(3, 1, 1, 1)$.

Remark 2.13. In the notation of Example 2.12, φ is an extremal contraction and φ-exceptional locus is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2$. This in particular implies that there are no small K-trivial extremal contractions on Y. Then according to Remark 2.7 all terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modifications of $\mathbb{P}(3, 1, 1, 1)$ are isomorphic to Y.

Example 2.14. Let us consider the weighted projective space $X = \mathbb{P}(6, 4, 1, 1)$. The singular locus of X is a curve $L \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ such that for two points P and Q on L singularities $P \in X$, $Q \in X$ are of types $\frac{1}{6}(4, 1, 1)$, $\frac{1}{4}(2, 1, 1)$, respectively, and for every point $O \in L \setminus \{P, Q\}$ singularity $O \in X$ is analytically isomorphic to $(0, o) \in \mathbb{C}^1 \times U$, where $o \in U$ is a singularity of type $\frac{1}{2}(1, 1)$ (see [10, 5.15]). Since $-K_X \sim \mathcal{O}_X(12)$ is ample, this implies that X is a Fano threefold with canonical Gorenstein singularities.

Let $\sigma_1 : Y_1 \longrightarrow X$ be the blow up at the point P with weights $\frac{1}{6}(4, 1, 1)$. Then the singular locus of the threefold Y_1 is a curve L_1 such that for two points P_1 and Q_1 on L_1 singularities $P_1 \in Y_1$, $Q_1 \in Y_1$ are of type $\frac{1}{4}(2, 1, 1)$ and for every point $O \in L_1 \setminus \{P_1, Q_1\}$ singularity $O \in Y_1$ is analytically isomorphic to $(0, o) \in \mathbb{C}^1 \times U$, where $o \in U$ is a singularity of type $\frac{1}{2}(1, 1)$.

Let $\sigma_2 : Y_2 \longrightarrow Y_1$ be the blow up at the points P_1 and Q_1 with weights $\frac{1}{4}(2, 1, 1)$. Then the singular locus of the threefold Y_2 is a curve $L_2 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ such that for every point O on L_2 singularity $O \in Y_2$ is analytically isomorphic to $(0, o) \in \mathbb{C}^1 \times U$, where $o \in U$ is a singularity of type $\frac{1}{2}(1, 1)$.

Finally, the blow up $\sigma_3 : Y \longrightarrow Y_2$ of the ideal of the curve L_2 on Y_2 leads to the non-singular threefold Y and the birational contraction $\varphi : Y \longrightarrow X$. By construction morphisms σ_i are crepant, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$, and morphism φ is a composition of σ_i. This implies that $K_Y = \varphi^* K_X$ and Y is a terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification of X.

Remark 2.15. In the notation of Example 2.12, φ is a composition of extremal contractions and φ-exceptional locus is of pure codimension 1. This in particular implies that there are no small K-trivial extremal contractions on Y. Then according to Remark 2.7 all terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modifications of $\mathbb{P}(6,4,1,1)$ are isomorphic to Y.

In the above notation, let us consider a K-negative extremal contraction $f : Y \longrightarrow Y'$. We have

Proposition 2.16 ([26, Propositions 4.11, 5.2]). *If* $\dim Y' < \dim Y$, *then* $-K_X^3 \notin \{66, 68, 70\}$.

Let us assume now that contraction f is birational. Then by [21, (2.3.2)] morphism f is divisorial. Let E be the f-exceptional divisor.

From the classification of extremal rays on terminal factorial threefolds in [7] we obtain

Lemma 2.17. *In the above notation, if* $f(E)$ *is a point, then one of the following holds:*

- Y' *has only terminal factorial singularities and is a weak Fano threefold with* $-K_{Y'}^3 > -K_Y^3$;
- $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathcal{O}_E(E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$ *and* $f(E) \in Y'$ *is a point of type* $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$.

Corollary 2.18. *In the assumptions of Lemma 2.17, if* $f(E) \in Y'$ *is a point of type* $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$, *then* $\varphi(E)$ *is a plane on* X *(a surface* $\Pi \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ *such that* $K_X^2 \cdot \Pi = 1$*).*

Proof. Since $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathcal{O}_E(E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$, we have

$$K_Y^2 \cdot E = \left(f^* K_{Y'} + \frac{1}{2}E\right)^2 \cdot E = \frac{1}{4}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)\right)^2 = 1.$$

This implies that $\varphi(E)$ is a surface $\Pi \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ on X with $K_X^2 \cdot \Pi = 1$. □

Lemma 2.19. *In the above notation, if* $f(E)$ *is a curve, then* Y' *has only terminal factorial singularities and one of the following holds:*

- Y' *is a weak Fano threefold with* $-K_{Y'}^3 \geqslant -K_Y^3$;
- *For* $C := f(E)$ *we have* $K_{Y'} \cdot C > 0$ *and* C *is the only curve having positive intersection with* $K_{Y'}$. *In this case* $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ *and either* $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ *or* $\mathbb{F}_1$.

Sketch of the proof. We use the arguments from the proof of Proposition-definition 4.5 in [26]. According to [7] threefold Y' is non-singular near C and f is the blow up of C. This in particular implies that Y' has only terminal factorial singularities.

We have $K_Y = f^* K_{Y'} + E$ and

$$K_Y^3 = K_{Y'}^3 + 3 f^* K_{Y'} \cdot E^2 + E^3.$$

If Y' is a weak Fano threefold, then

$$0 \leqslant (-K_Y)^2 \cdot E = 2 f^* K_{Y'} \cdot E^2 + E^3, \qquad 0 \leqslant (-K_Y) \cdot (-f^* K_{Y'}) \cdot E = f^* K_{Y'} \cdot E^2.$$

This gives the inequality $-K_{Y'}^3 \geqslant -K_Y^3$.

Now, if Y' is not a weak Fano threefold, then $K_{Y'} \cdot Z > 0$ for some irreducible curve Z. It is easy to see that $Z = C$. Then from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 in [26] and Corollary 1.3 in [21] we get $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$.

Thus, $E \simeq \mathbb{F}_n$ for some $n \geqslant 0$. Since $-K_Y|_E$ is a section of the fibration $E \longrightarrow C$, we can write

$$-K_Y|_E \sim h + (n+a)l,$$

where h is the negative section and l is a fibre. We have $a \geqslant 0$ because $-K_Y|_E$ is nef.

Thus, we obtain

$$0 > -K_{Y'} \cdot C = K_Y^2 \cdot E - 2 + 2p_a(C) = (-K_Y|_E)^2 - 2 = n + 2a - 2,$$

which implies that $a = 0$ and $n \leqslant 1$. □

Corollary 2.20. *In the assumptions of Lemma 2.19,*

- *If $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, then $\varphi(E)$ is a line on X (a curve $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $-K_X \cdot \Gamma = 1$) and X is singular along $\varphi(E)$;*
- *If $E \simeq \mathbb{F}_1$, then $\varphi(E)$ is a plane on X (a surface $\Pi \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $K_X^2 \cdot \Pi = 1$).*

Proof. In the notation from the proof of Lemma 2.19, if $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, then $-K_Y \cdot h = 0$ and $-K_Y \cdot l = 1$. This implies that $\varphi(E)$ is a curve $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ on X with $-K_X \cdot \Gamma = 1$.

If $E \simeq \mathbb{F}_1$, then $-K_Y \cdot h = 0$ and h is the only curve on E having zero intersection with $-K_Y$. This implies that $\varphi(E)$ is a surface $\Pi \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ on X with $K_X^2 \cdot \Pi = K_Y^2 \cdot E = 1$. □

Finally, recall that a normal three-dimensional singularity $o \in V$ is called a cDV *singularity* if it is analytically isomorphic to a hypersurface singularity $f(x, y, z) + tg(x, y, z) = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^4$ with coordinates x, y, z, t, where $f(x, y, z) = 0$ is an equation of a Du Val singularity.

Proposition 2.21 ([28])**.** *If $o \in V$ is a* cDV *point, then the discrepancy of every prime divisor with center at o is strictly positive.*

Corollary 2.22. *If $o \in V$ is an isolated* cDV *point, then it is terminal.*

Proposition 2.23 ([28, Corollary 2.10])**.** *Suppose that V is projective. Then $o \in V$ is a* cDV *point if and only if for general hyperplane section H through o singularity $o \in H$ is Du Val.*

3. Beginning of the proof of Theorem 1.4: preliminary results

We use the notation and assumptions from Section 2. Let X be a Fano threefold satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.4 and Y be its terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification.

Fix a K-negative extremal contraction $f : Y \longrightarrow Y'$. By Proposition 2.16 and [21, (2.3.2)] morphism f is birational with exceptional divisor E.

Throughout this section we will assume that Y' has terminal factorial singularities and $-K_{Y'}$ is nef. According to Lemmas 2.17 and 2.19 this in particular implies that Y' is a weak Fano threefold.

By Remark 2.9 Y' is a terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification of some Fano threefold X' with canonical Gorenstein singularities. Let us denote by $\psi : Y' \longrightarrow X'$ the corresponding anti-canonical morphism.

Lemma 3.1. *In the above notation, if $f(E)$ is a point and $-K_{Y'}^3 = 72$, then $-K_Y^3 \notin \{66, 68, 70\}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.3 threefold Y' is a terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification either of $\mathbb{P}(3, 1, 1, 1)$ or of $\mathbb{P}(6, 4, 1, 1)$. Then by Remarks 2.13 and 2.15 Y' is non-singular.

According to [7] morphism f is the blow up of the maximal ideal of the point $f(E) \in Y'$. Since Y' is non-singular, this in particular implies that $K_Y = f^*K_{Y'} + 2E$ and $E^3 = 1$. Then we get

$$-K_Y^3 = 72 - 8E^3 = 64.$$

□

Proposition 3.2. *In the above notation, if $f(E)$ is a curve and $-K_{Y'}^3 = 72$, then $-K_Y^3 = 70$ and X is the image under birational projection of the anti-canonically embedded Fano threefold $\mathbb{P}(6, 4, 1, 1) \subset \mathbb{P}^{38}$ from a singular point on $\mathbb{P}(6, 4, 1, 1)$ of type* cDV*.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.3 threefold Y' is a terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification either of $\mathbb{P}(3,1,1,1)$ or of $\mathbb{P}(6,4,1,1)$. In particular, either $X' = \mathbb{P}(3,1,1,1)$ or $\mathbb{P}(6,4,1,1)$ (see Remark 2.11).

By Remarks 2.13 and 2.15 threefold Y' is isomorphic to that constructed either in Example 2.12 or in Example 2.14.

Set $C := f(E)$. According to [7] morphism f is the blow up of C.

Lemma 3.3. *In the above notation, we have $X' \neq \mathbb{P}(3,1,1,1)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $X' = \mathbb{P}(3,1,1,1)$. According to Example 2.12 the ψ-exceptional locus E_ψ is an irreducible divisor which is contracted to the singular point on X'.

We have $E_\psi \cap C = \emptyset$. Indeed, otherwise, since $\psi(E_\psi)$ is a point, we can find a curve $Z \subset Y$ such that $K_{Y'} \cdot f_*Z = 0$ and $E \cdot Z > 0$. Then from the equality

$$K_Y = f^*K_{Y'} + E$$

we get $K_Y \cdot Z > 0$. This is impossible because $-K_Y$ is nef.

Let us consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} Y \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\varphi"'] & Y' \arrow[d, "\psi"] \\ X & X' \arrow[l, dashed, "f'"'] \end{tikzcd}$$

Morphism φ is given by the linear system $|-K_Y| = |f^*(-K_{Y'}) - E|$. Hence the map $\varphi \circ f^{-1}$ is given by the linear system $|-K_{Y'} - C|$. Since $E_\psi \cap C = \emptyset$, the map f' is given by the linear system $|-K_{X'} - \psi(C)|$. According to Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 threefold X' is anti-canonically embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{38}$. This implies that the curve $\psi(C)$ is cut out on X' by some linear subspace $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{38}$.

On the other hand, $X = X_{2g-2} \subset \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ where $g \in \{34, 35, 36\}$ (see Remark 2.5). Thus, $\dim V \leq 2$ and $-K_{X'} \cdot \psi(C) \leqslant 2$ because X' is an intersection of quadrics (see Proposition 2.4).

For $X' = \mathbb{P}(3,1,1,1)$ we have $-K_{X'} \sim \mathcal{O}_{X'}(6)$ (see [10]). Hence

$$0 < \mathcal{O}_{X'}(1) \cdot \psi(C) \leqslant \frac{1}{3}.$$

This implies that the curve $\psi(C)$ passes through the singular point on X' which is impossible because $E_\psi \cap C = \emptyset$. □

Thus, Y' is a terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification of $X' = \mathbb{P}(6,4,1,1)$. Let P and Q be two non-cDV points on X' introduced in Example 2.14.

Lemma 3.4. *In the above notation, $\psi(C)$ is a point distinct from P and Q.*

Proof. Suppose that $\psi(C)$ is a curve. Then for the ψ-exceptional locus E_ψ we have $E_\psi \cap C = \emptyset$. Indeed, otherwise we can find a curve $Z \subset Y$ such that $K_{Y'} \cdot f_*Z = 0$ and $E \cdot Z > 0$. Then from the equality

$$K_Y = f^*K_{Y'} + E$$

we get $K_Y \cdot Z > 0$. This is impossible because $-K_Y$ is nef.

Now repeating word by word the arguments from the proof of Lemma 3.3 we obtain that $-K_{X'} \cdot \psi(C) \leqslant 2$. For $X' = \mathbb{P}(6,4,1,1)$ we have $-K_{X'} \sim \mathcal{O}_{X'}(12)$ (see [10]). Hence

$$0 < \mathcal{O}_{X'}(1) \cdot \psi(C) \leqslant \frac{1}{6}.$$

This implies that the curve $\psi(C)$ passes through the singular point on X' which is impossible because $E_\psi \cap C = \emptyset$. The obtained contradiction shows that $\psi(C)$ is a point.

Now if $\psi(C)$ is either P or Q, then we can find a curve $Z \subset Y$ such that $K_{Y'} \cdot f_*Z = 0$ and $E \cdot Z > 0$. From the equality

$$K_Y = f^*K_{Y'} + E$$

we get $K_Y \cdot Z > 0$. This is impossible because $-K_Y$ is nef. $\square$

Remark 3.5. Set $O := \psi(C)$. According to Example 2.14 $O \in X'$ is a point of type cA_1. Then Lemma 3.4 in particular implies that $C = \psi^{-1}(O)$ is an irreducible rational curve.

According to Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 threefold X' is anti-canonically embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{38}$. In what follows, we consider X' with respect to this embedding. In the notation of Remark 3.5, let us denote by π the projection of the threefold X' from the point O.

Lemma 3.6. *$\pi(X')$ is a Fano threefold with canonical Gorenstein singularities and degree* 70.

Proof. Let $\sigma : W \longrightarrow X'$ be the blow up of the maximal ideal of the point O. We get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & W \arrow[dl, "\sigma"'] \arrow[dr, "\tau"] & \\ X' \arrow[rr, dashed, "\pi"'] & & \pi(X') \end{tikzcd}$$

The projection π is given by the linear system $\mathcal{H} \subset |-K_{X'}|$ of all hyperplane sections of X' passing through O. Since $O \in X'$ is a cA_1 point (see Remark 3.5), for general $H \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\sigma_*^{-1} H = \sigma^*(H) - E_\sigma,$$

where E_σ is the σ-exceptional divisor. On the other hand, by the adjunction formula we have

$$K_W = \sigma^* K_{X'} + E_\sigma.$$

Thus, morphism $\tau : W \longrightarrow \pi(X')$ is given by the linear system $\sigma_*^{-1}\mathcal{H} \subseteq |-K_W|$. In particular, W is a weak Fano threefold and $\pi(X')$ is a Fano threefold. Moreover, by construction singularities of W are canonical and Gorenstein. Then, since morphism τ is crepant, by [15] singularities of $\pi(X')$ are also canonical and Gorenstein.

Finally, since $-K_{X'}^3 = 72$ and $\mathrm{mult}_O(X') = 2$, the degree of $\pi(X')$ equals 70. $\square$

Lemma 3.7. *In the above notation, we have $X = \pi(X')$.*

Proof. We use the notation from the proof of Lemma 3.6. Let $L \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ be the singular locus of X' (see Example 2.14). The morphism ψ factors through the blow up of the curve L. Then by Lemma 3.4, Remark 3.5 and the property of blow ups we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} Y \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\eta"'] & Y' \arrow[d, "\psi"] \\ W \arrow[r, "\sigma"'] & X' \end{tikzcd}$$

where η is the contraction of the proper transform of the ψ-exceptional divisor E_ψ. In particular, we have $K_Y = \eta^* K_W$.

Since $\pi(X')$ is the anti-canonical image of W, this implies that Y is a terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification of $\pi(X')$. Hence $X = \pi(X')$ by Remark 2.11. $\square$

Proposition 3.2 is completely proved. $\square$

Proposition 3.8. *In the assumptions of Proposition 3.2, threefold X has only one singular point which is non-*cDV.

Proof. In the notation of Example 2.14, let L be the singular locus of $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(6, 4, 1, 1)$.

Lemma 3.9. *In the above notation, the curve L is a line (i.e. $L \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and $-K_{\mathbb{P}} \cdot L = 1$).*

Proof. The curve L is given by the equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ where x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 are weighted projective coordinates on $\mathbb{P}$ of weights 6, 4, 1, 1, respectively (see [10, 5.15]). This in particular implies that $L \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. It remains to show that $-K_{\mathbb{P}} \cdot L = 1$.

Let S be the surface in $\mathbb{P}$ with equation $x_3 = 0$. Then $L \subset S$ and

$$-K_{\mathbb{P}} \cdot L = (-K_{\mathbb{P}}|_S \cdot L).$$

The last intersection is taken on $S = \mathbb{P}(6,4,1) \simeq \mathbb{P}(3,2,1)$ (see [10, 5.7]).

Since $-K_{\mathbb{P}} \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(12)$, general element $D \in |-K_{\mathbb{P}}|$ is given by the equation

$$a_0x_0^2 + a_6(x_2,x_3)x_0 + a_2(x_2,x_3)x_0x_1 + a_4(x_2,x_3)x_1^2 + a_8(x_2,x_3)x_1 + a_{12}(x_2,x_3) = 0,$$

where $a_i(x_2,x_3)$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree i on the variables x_2, x_3.

If y_0, y_1, y_2 are weighted projective coordinates on S of weights 3, 2, 1, respectively, then $D|_S$ is given by the equation (see [10, 5.10])

$$b_0y_0^2 + b_1y_0y_3^3 + b_2y_0y_1y_2 + b_3y_1^3 + b_4y_1^2y_2^2 + b_5y_1y_2^4 + b_6y_2^6 = 0$$

on S, where $b_i \in \mathbb{C}$. This implies that $D|_S \sim \mathcal{O}_S(6)$. Thus, we have

$$-K_{\mathbb{P}} \cdot L = (-K_{\mathbb{P}}|_S \cdot L) = (\mathcal{O}_S(6) \cdot \mathcal{O}_S(1)) = 1$$

for the last intersection on $S = \mathbb{P}(3,2,1)$ (see [8]). ☐

Let P and Q be two non-cDV points on $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(6,4,1,1)$ introduced in Example 2.14.

Lemma 3.10. *In the above notation, L is the unique line on $\mathbb{P}$ passing through singular points on $\mathbb{P}$ distinct from P and Q.*

Proof. Let $L_0 \neq L$ be a line on $\mathbb{P}$ intersecting the line L at some point other than P and Q.

In the notation from the proof of Lemma 3.9, let $\tau_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, be the automorphism of $\mathbb{P}$ given by the following formula:

$$\tau_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} : [x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3] \mapsto [\lambda_1x_0 : \lambda_2x_1 : x_2 : x_3].$$

The group generated by $\tau_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}$ acts transitively on $L \setminus \{P, Q\}$ (see [10], 5.15).

Apply automorphisms $\tau_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}$ to L_0. We obtain a family of lines $\{L_t\}$, $t \in L \setminus \{P, Q\}$, on $\mathbb{P}$ such that each line L_t intersects L at some point P_t with $P_t \neq P_{t'}$ for $t \neq t'$.

Let T be the terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification of $\mathbb{P}$ and $\phi : T \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}$ the corresponding anticanonical morphism. Let L'_t be the proper transform of line L_t on T. We have $-K_T \cdot L'_t = 1$. Moreover, set $E_P := \phi^{-1}(P)$, $E_Q := \phi^{-1}(Q)$ and $E_L := \phi^{-1}(L)$. Then

$$E_P \cdot L'_t = E_Q \cdot L'_t = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad E_L \cdot L'_t = 1$$

on T. Since for the ϕ-exceptional locus E_ϕ we have $E_\phi = E_P \cup E_Q \cup E_L$ (see Example 2.14), the obtained equalities imply that the curves in the family $\{L'_t\}$ are numerically equivalent.

Furthermore, from the equality $-K_T \cdot L'_t = 1$ and Proposition 2.10 we deduce that the ray $R := \mathbb{R}_+[L'_t]$ determines an extremal contraction $\varphi_R : T \longrightarrow T'$. By Propositions 4.11 and 5.2 in [26] and [21, (2.3.2)] the contraction φ_R is birational and contracts the surface

$$E_R := \bigcup_{t \in L \setminus \{P,Q\}} L'_t$$

to some curve.

If T' is a weak Fano threefold, then by Lemma 2.19 we have $-K_{T'}^3 \geqslant -K_T^3 = 72$. Thus, by Remark 2.9 and Theorem 1.3 threefold T' is a terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification either of $\mathbb{P}(3,1,1,1)$ or of $\mathbb{P}(6,4,1,1)$. By Remarks 2.13 and 2.15 either $T' \simeq T$ or T' is that constructed in Example 2.12. This implies that $\rho(T') = \rho(T) - 1 = 4$ equals either 5 or 2, a contradiction.

Hence by Lemma 2.19 divisor $-K_{T'}$ is not nef and either $E_R = \mathbb{F}_1$ or $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. But according to Corollary 2.20 equality $E_R = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ implies that $E_R \subset E_\phi$ which is not the case.

Thus, we get $E_R = \mathbb{F}_1$. But $E_P \cap E_R$, $E_Q \cap E_R \neq \emptyset$ and $\phi(E_P)$, $\phi(E_Q)$ are two distinct points on $\mathbb{P}$. This implies that there are two distinct curves on E_R having zero intersection with K_T.

But according to calculations in the proof of Lemma 2.19 the negative section on $E_R = \mathbb{F}_1$ is the only curve on E_R having this property. The obtained contradiction completes the proof of Lemma 3.10. ☐

We use the notation from the proof of Proposition 3.2. By Lemmas 3.9 and 3.10 morphism $\tau : W \longrightarrow X = \pi(X')$ contracts only the proper transform L' of the line L to the unique singular point on X. Set $o := \tau(L')$.

Lemma 3.11. *Singularity $o \in X$ is non-*cDV.

Proof. If the point $o \in X$ is cDV, then X has terminal Gorenstein singularities by Corollary 2.22. But this contradicts Theorem 1.2 because $-K_X^3 = 70$. ☐

Proposition 3.8 is completely proved. ☐

Remark 3.12. From Proposition 3.8 it is not difficult to see that $\rho(X) = 1$ for Fano threefold X satisfying the conditions of Proposition 3.2. We will denote this X by X_{70}. Furthermore, in the notation from the proof of Lemma 3.10, since the group of automorphisms $\tau_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}$ acts transitively on $L \setminus \{P, Q\}$, from Lemmas 3.3–3.7 we deduce that the threefold X_{70} is unique up to isomorphism.

Lemma 3.13. *In the above notation, if $X = X_{70}$, then every terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification of X is non-singular.*

Proof. We use the notation from the proof of Proposition 3.2. We have shown that the morphism $f : Y \longrightarrow Y'$ is the blow up of a non-singular curve on a non-singular threefold Y'. Thus, X possess a non-singular terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification.

Now from Theorem 2.4 in [17] and Remark 2.7 we deduce that every terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification of X is non-singular. ☐

Corollary 3.14. *In the above notation, if $f(E)$ is a point and $X' = X_{70}$, then $-K_Y^3 \notin \{66, 68, 70\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.13 threefold Y' is non-singular. Then repeating word by word the arguments from the proof of Lemma 3.1 we obtain that $-K_Y^3 = 62$. ☐

Corollary 3.15. *In the above notation, if $f(E)$ is a curve and $X' = X_{70}$, then $-K_Y^3 \notin \{66, 68, 70\}$.*

Proof. Set $C := f(E)$. By Lemma 3.13 threefold Y' is non-singular and according to [7] f is the blow up of C.

If $\psi(C)$ does not pass through the singular point on X', then repeating word by word the arguments from the proof of Lemma 3.3 we get

$$-K_{X'} \cdot \psi(C) \leqslant 2.$$

By construction of X_{70} and our assumption X' is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(6, 4, 1, 1)$ near $\psi(C)$. In particular, the image C' of the curve $\psi(C)$ on $\mathbb{P}$ does not pass through the singular points.

On the other hand, we have

$$0 < \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \cdot C' = \frac{1}{12}(-K_{X'} \cdot \psi(C)) \leqslant \frac{1}{6}.$$

This implies that the curve C' passes through the singular point on $\mathbb{P}$, a contradiction.

Thus, we get $E_\psi \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for the ψ-exceptional locus E_ψ. But $\psi(E_\psi)$ is a point on X'. Hence we can find a curve $Z \subset Y$ such that $K_{Y'} \cdot f_*Z = 0$ and $E \cdot Z > 0$. Then from the equality

$$K_Y = f^*K_{Y'} + E$$

we get $K_Y \cdot Z > 0$. This is impossible because $-K_Y$ is nef. ☐

Remark 3.16. It follows from Lemma 3.1, Propositions 3.2 and 3.8, Corollaries 3.14 and 3.15, the estimates $\rho(Y') < \rho(Y)$ and $-K_{Y'}^3 \geqslant -K_Y^3$ (see Lemmas 2.17 and 2.19) that to complete the proof of Theorem 1.4 it remains to consider the case when there are no K-negative extremal contractions of Y on weak Fano threefolds with terminal factorial singularities. Then by Corollaries 2.18 and 2.20 either the corresponding Fano threefold X is singular along a line or contains a plane.

4. Reduction to the log Mori fibration

In this section we follow §6 in [26]. Let X be a Fano threefold satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.4. Recall that by Remark 2.5 threefold $X = X_{2g-2}$ is anti-canonically embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ with $g \in \{34, 35, 36\}$ and X_{2g-2} is an intersection of quadrics.

According to Remark 3.16 in order to complete the proof of Theorem 1.4 we may assume that either X is singular along a line Γ or contains a plane Π (cases **A** and **B**, respectively). It is also convenient to distinguish the case **C**: threefold X has at least one non-cDV point O.

Set $\mathcal{L} := |-K_X|$ and consider the following linear systems $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{L}$:

$$\mathcal{H} := \{H \in \mathcal{L} | H \supset \Gamma\} \qquad \text{in case } \mathbf{A};$$

$$\mathcal{H} := \{H | H + \Pi \in \mathcal{L}\} \qquad \text{in case } \mathbf{B};$$

$$\mathcal{H} := \{H \in \mathcal{L} | H \ni O\} \qquad \text{in case } \mathbf{C}.$$

Take a terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification $\varphi : Y \longrightarrow X$ of X. Let $\mathcal{L}_Y$ and $\mathcal{H}_Y$ be the proper transforms on Y of linear systems $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{H}$, respectively. We have

$$\varphi^*\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_Y + D_Y$$

where $D_Y \neq 0$ is an integral effective exceptional divisor.

Remark 4.1. According to Corollary 2.14 in [28] all the components of D_Y are surfaces of negative Kodaira dimension.

Furthermore, we have

$$K_Y + \mathcal{H}_Y + D_Y = \varphi^*(K_X + \mathcal{H}) \sim 0 \qquad \text{in cases } \mathbf{A} \text{ and } \mathbf{C};$$

$$K_Y + \mathcal{H}_Y + D_Y = \varphi^*(K_X + \mathcal{H} + \Pi) \sim 0 \qquad \text{in case } \mathbf{B}.$$

Lemma 4.2 ([26, Lemma 6.5])**.** *In the above notation, the image of the threefold X under the map $\varphi_{\mathcal{H}}$ given by the linear system $\mathcal{H}$ is of dimension* 3.

Lemma 4.3 ([26, Lemma 6.8])**.** *In the above notation, one can take Y so that*

- *the pair $(Y, \mathcal{H}_Y)$ is canonical;*
- *the linear system $\mathcal{H}_Y$ is nef and consists of Cartier divisors.*

To the pair $(Y, \mathcal{H}_Y)$ constructed in Lemma 4.3 let us apply $(K_Y + \mathcal{H}_Y)$-Minimal Model Program. Each step preserves the equality $K + \mathcal{H} \equiv -D$ for some divisor $D > 0$. Hence at the end we obtain a pair $(W, \mathcal{H}_W)$ with $(K_W + \mathcal{H}_W)$-negative contraction $g : W \longrightarrow V$ to a lower-dimensional variety V.

Remark 4.4. By [26, Lemma 3.4] $(K_Y + \mathcal{H}_Y)$-Minimal Model Program preserves the property of $\mathcal{H}_Y$ being nef and consisting of Cartier divisors. This implies that W has only terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities. Moreover, let us denote by $\mathcal{L}_W$ the proper transform on W of the linear system $\mathcal{L}_Y$. Then $K_W + \mathcal{L}_W \sim 0$ and by Lemma 3.1 in [26] the pair $(W, \mathcal{L}_W)$ is canonical. In particular, the anti-canonical linear system $|-K_W|$ does not have fixed components.

Remark 4.5. By construction the initial Fano threefold X is the image of W under the birational map $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}_W}$ given by the linear system $\mathcal{L}_W \subset |-K_W|$. Furthermore, from Lemma 4.2 we deduce that the linear system $\mathcal{H}_W$ is ample over V and does not have fixed components.

We have

$$K_W + \mathcal{H}_W + D_W \sim 0, \qquad D_W > 0$$

on W and the inequalities

$$\dim|-K_W| \geqslant \dim \mathcal{L}_W = \dim|-K_X|,$$

$$\dim|H| \geqslant \dim \mathcal{H},$$

where $H \in \mathcal{H}_W$ is a general divisor.

Remark 4.6. By Remark 4.5 we have $\dim|-K_W| \geqslant \dim|-K_X|$. By construction of the linear system $\mathcal{H}$ we have $\dim \mathcal{H} \geqslant \dim|-K_X| - 3$. Then from the assumption $-K_X^3 \in \{66, 68, 70\}$ we obtain that $\dim|-K_W| \geqslant 35$ and $\dim|H| \geqslant 32$.

By construction variety V is a point, a curve or a surface. Moreover, when V is a point by Proposition 7.2 in [26] we have $\dim|-K_W| \leqslant 34$ which contradicts the estimate from Remark 4.6. In what follows we will treat separately the cases when V is a curve and a surface.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.4 in the case when V is a curve

We use the notation and assumptions from Section 4. From the Leray spectral sequence and Kawamata–Vieweg Vanishing Theorem we deduce that $H^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V) = H^1(W, \mathcal{O}_W) = 0$. Thus, we have $V \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ in the present case.

General fibre W_η of the contraction $g : W \longrightarrow V$ is a non-singular del Pezzo surface. For $H \in \mathcal{H}_W$ divisor $-(K_{W_\eta} + H|_{W_\eta})$ is ample by construction and divisor $H|_{W_\eta}$ is ample by Remark 4.5. This implies that $W_\eta \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Moreover, for $W_\eta \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ we have either $H|_{W_\eta} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ or $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$.

Lemma 5.1. *In the above notation, if $W_\eta \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ and $H|_{W_\eta} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$, then W is a $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle. Moreover, either $W = \mathrm{Proj}\,(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ or $\mathrm{Proj}\,(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(6) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$.*

Proof. It follows at once from Lemma 8.1 and Proposition 8.2 in [26] and Remark 4.4 that $W = \mathrm{Proj}\,(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ with the following possibilities for (d_1, d_2):

$$(1,1), \quad (2,1), \quad (2,2), \quad (3,1), \quad (3,2), \quad (4,1), \quad (4,2), \quad (5,2), \quad (6,2).$$

Except for the last two cases we have $\dim|-K_W| \leqslant 33$ (see for example [29, 2.5]). Hence by Remark 4.6 the pair (d_1, d_2) is either $(5,2)$ or $(6,2)$. □

Proposition 5.2. *In the assumptions of Lemma 5.1, if $W = \mathrm{Proj}\,(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$, then $-K_X^3 = 66$ and X the anti-canonical image of W.*

Proof. For $W = \mathrm{Proj}\,(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ we have $\dim|-K_W| = 35$. From Remark 4.6 we deduce the equality $|-K_W| = \mathcal{L}_W$. In particular, for the initial Fano threefold X we must have $-K_X^3 = 66$. Let us show that this possibility is really obtained.

On W we have $-K_W \sim 3M - 5L$ where M is the tautological line bundle and L is the fibre of the morphism g (see for example [29, A.10]). Then the anti-canonical linear system $|-K_W|$ is generated by (see [29, 2.4])

$$g_1x_2^3, \quad x_1x_3^2, \quad g_2x_1x_2x_3, \quad g_4x_1x_2^2, \quad g_5x_1^2x_3, \quad g_7x_1^2x_2, \quad g_{10}x_1^3 \tag{5.3}$$

where x_1, x_2, x_3 are projective coordinates on the fibre $L \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, g_i is a homogeneous polynomial of degree i on the projective coordinates t_0, t_1 on the base $V \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. In particular, the base locus of $|-K_W|$ on W is a curve $C_0 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ given by equations $x_1 = x_2 = 0$.

In order to resolve indeterminacies of the map $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}_W} : W \dashrightarrow X$ we may set $t_2 = x_3 = 1$, $x_1 = x$, $x_2 = y$ and $t_1 = z$.

Let $\sigma_1 : W_1 \longrightarrow W_0 = W$ be the blow up of the curve C_0 with exceptional divisor E_1. Threefold W_1 is covered by two affine charts $U_i^{(1)}$ each isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^3$. We will again denote by x, y, z the coordinates on each chart $U_i^{(1)}$.

The map $U_1^{(1)} \longrightarrow W_0$ is given by

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, xy, z).$$

Then the linear system $\mathcal{L}_{W_1} = \sigma_{1*}^{-1}\mathcal{L}_W$ is generated by

$$g_1 y^3 x^2, \quad 1, \quad g_2 xy, \quad g_4 x^2 y^2, \quad g_5 x, \quad g_7 x^2 y, \quad g_{10} x^2$$

on $U_1^{(1)}$ which implies that $\mathcal{L}_{W_1}$ is free on $U_1^{(1)}$.

The map $U_2^{(1)} \longrightarrow W_0$ is given by

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (xy, y, z).$$

Then the linear system $\mathcal{L}_{W_1} = \sigma_{1*}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_W)$ is generated by

$$g_1 y^2, \quad x, \quad g_2 xy, \quad g_4 xy^2, \quad g_5 x^2 y, \quad g_7 x^2 y^2, \quad g_{10} x^3 y^2 \tag{5.4}$$

on $U_2^{(1)}$. This implies that the base locus of $\mathcal{L}_{W_1}$ on W_1 is an irreducible rational curve $C_1 \subset E_1 \cap U_2^{(1)}$ given by equations $x = y = 0$.

In order to resolve the indeterminacies of the map $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}_{W_1}} : W_1 \dashrightarrow X$ we may set $W_1 = U_2^{(1)}$ with coordinates x, y, z. Then (5.4) is the set of generators of the linear system $\mathcal{L}_{W_1}$.

Let $\sigma_2 : W_2 \longrightarrow W_1$ be the blow up of the curve C_1 with exceptional divisor E_2. Threefold W_2 is covered by two affine charts $U_i^{(2)}$ each isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^3$. We will again denote by x, y, z the coordinates on each chart $U_i^{(2)}$.

The map $U_1^{(2)} \longrightarrow W_1$ is given by

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, xy, z)$$

and again the linear system $\mathcal{L}_{W_2} = \sigma_{2*}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_{W_1})$ is free on $U_1^{(2)}$.

The map $U_2^{(2)} \longrightarrow W_1$ is given by

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (xy, y, z).$$

Then the linear system $\mathcal{L}_{W_2} = \sigma_{2*}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_{W_1})$ is generated by

$$g_1 y, \quad x, \quad g_2 xy, \quad g_4 xy^2, \quad g_5 x^2 y^2, \quad g_7 x^2 y^3, \quad g_{10} x^3 y^4 \tag{5.5}$$

on $U_2^{(2)}$. This implies that the base locus of $\mathcal{L}_{W_2}$ on W_2 is an irreducible rational curve $C_2 \subset E_2 \cap U_2^{(2)}$ given by equations $x = y = 0$.

Finally, in order to resolve the indeterminacies of the map $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}_{W_2}} : W_2 \dashrightarrow X$ we may set $W_2 = U_2^{(2)}$ with coordinates x, y, z. Then (5.5) is the set of generators of the linear system $\mathcal{L}_{W_2}$.

Let $\sigma_3 : W_3 \longrightarrow W_2$ be the blow up of the curve C_2 with exceptional divisor E_3. Threefold W_3 is covered by two affine charts $U_i^{(3)}$ each isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^3$. We will again denote by x, y, z the coordinates on each chart $U_i^{(3)}$.

The map $U_1^{(3)} \longrightarrow W_2$ is given by

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, xy, z)$$

and again the linear system $\mathcal{L}_{W_3} = \sigma_{3*}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_{W_2})$ is free on $U_1^{(3)}$.

The map $U_2^{(3)} \longrightarrow W_2$ is given by

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (xy, y, z).$$

Then the linear system $\mathcal{L}_{W_3}$ is generated by

$$g_1, \quad x, \quad g_2 xy, \quad g_4 xy^2, \quad g_5 x^2 y^3, \quad g_7 x^2 y^4, \quad g_{10} x^3 y^6$$

on $U_2^{(3)}$. This implies that the linear system $\mathcal{L}_{W_3} = \sigma_{3*}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_{W_2})$ is free on $U_2^{(3)}$ and hence it is free on W_3.

Lemma 5.6. *In the above notation, W_3 is a non-singular weak Fano threefold such that the morphism $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}_{W_3}}$ is crepant and the image $X = \varphi_{\mathcal{L}_{W_3}}(W_3)$ is a Fano threefold with canonical Gorenstein singularities and degree* 66.

Proof. In the above notation, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$ we have

$$K_{W_i} = \sigma_i^* K_{W_{i-1}} + E_i$$

and general element in $\mathcal{L}_{W_{i-1}}$ is non-singular along the base curve C_{i-1} (see (5.3)–(5.5)). Since $\mathcal{L}_{W_0} = |-K_W|$, this implies that $\mathcal{L}_{W_i} \subset |-K_{W_i}|$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$. In particular, divisor $-K_{W_3}$ is nef because the linear system $\mathcal{L}_{W_3}$ is free.

Furthermore, the image $\varphi_{|-K_W|}(W) = \varphi_{\mathcal{L}_{W_3}}(W_3)$ is three-dimensional because $-K_W$ is big. This implies that divisor $-K_{W_3}$ is also big.

Thus, W_3 is a weak Fano threefold and morphism $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}_{W_3}}$ is crepant. Moreover, by construction W_3 is non-singular. Then $X = \varphi_{\mathcal{L}_{W_3}}(W_3)$ is a Fano threefold with canonical Gorenstein singularities (see [15]).

Finally, we have $X = X_{2g-2} \subset \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ for the genus g of X equal 34 because $X = \varphi_{|-K_W|}(W)$ and $\dim |-K_W| = 35$. □

Proposition 5.2 is completely proved. □

Remark 5.7. Obviously, Fano threefold X from Proposition 5.2 is toric. From the precise view of the fan of X in the list obtained in [19] it is straightforward that X is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial and $\rho(X) = 2$. We will denote this X by X_{66}.

Proposition 5.8. *In the above notation, if $X = X_{66}$, then singularities of X are non-*cDV.

Proof. We use the notation from the proof of Proposition 5.2. From Lemma 5.6 we deduce that $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}_{W_3}} : W_3 \longrightarrow X$ is a terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification of X. In particular, we have $\mathcal{L}_{W_3} = |-K_{W_3}|$.

Let $L_t \subset E_2$ be the fibre $\sigma_2^{-1}(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$, and L_t' be its proper transform on W_3.

Lemma 5.9. *On W_3 we have $K_{W_3} \cdot L_t' = 0$.*

Proof. Set $E_1^* := (\sigma_2 \circ \sigma_3)^*(E_1)$ and $E_2^* := \sigma_3^*(E_2)$. On W_3 we have

$$K_{W_3} = (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \sigma_3)^*(K_W) + E_1^* + E_2^* + E_3.$$

Furthermore, on W_3 we have

$$E_3 \cdot L_t' = 1, \qquad E_2^* \cdot L_t' = E_2 \cdot L_t = -1, \qquad E_1^* \cdot L_t' = \sigma_2^*(E_1) \cdot L_t = 0.$$

Thus, we get $K_{W_3} \cdot L_t' = 0$ on W_3. □

Let E_2' be the proper transform of the surface E_2 on W_3.

Lemma 5.10. *On W_3 we have $K_{W_3} \cdot E_2' \cdot E_3 = 0$.*

Proof. In the notation from the proof of Proposition 5.2, let $\sigma_3 : W_3 \longrightarrow W_2$ be the blow up of the curve C_2 with exceptional divisor E_3. Without loss of generality we may set $W_2 = U_2^{(2)}$ with coordinates x, y, z. Then the threefold W_3 is covered by two affine charts $U_i^{(3)}$ each isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^3$. We will again denote by x, y, z the coordinates on each chart $U_i^{(3)}$.

The map $U_1^{(3)} \longrightarrow W_2$ is given by

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, xy, z).$$

Then general element $S \in \mathcal{L}_{W_3} = |-K_{W_3}| = \sigma_{3*}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_{W_2})$ is given by the equation

$$g_1 y + g_0 + g_2 xy + g_4 x^2 y^2 + g_5 x^3 y^2 + g_7 x^4 y^3 + g_{10} x^6 y^4 = 0$$

on $U_1^{(3)}$ where $g_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ (see (5.5)). On the other hand, the equation of E_3 on $U_1^{(3)}$ is $x = 0$ and the equation of E_2 on W_2 is $y = 0$. This implies that

$$S \cap E_2' \cap E_3 = \emptyset$$

on $U_1^{(3)}$.

Furthermore, the map $U_2^{(3)} \longrightarrow W_2$ is given by

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (xy, y, z)$$

Then $E_2' \cap U_2^{(3)} \cap E_3 = \emptyset$ because the equation of E_3 on $U_2^{(3)}$ is $y = 0$ and the equation of E_2 on W_2 is $y = 0$. This implies that for general element $S \in \mathcal{L}_{W_3} = |-K_{W_3}|$

$$S \cap E_2' \cap E_3 = \emptyset$$

on $U_2^{(3)}$. Thus, we get $K_{W_3} \cdot E_2' \cdot E_3 = 0$ on W_3. □

Lemmas 5.9 and 5.10 imply that divisor E_2' is $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}_{W_3}}$-exceptional and $o := \varphi_{\mathcal{L}_{W_3}}(E_2')$ is a point on X. Moreover, since the discrepancy $a(E_2', X)$ is zero, $o \in X$ is a non-cDV singular point by Theorem 2.21. Proposition 5.8 is completely proved. □

Now we turn to the second case in Lemma 5.1.

Proposition 5.11. *In the assumptions of Lemma 5.1, if* $W = \mathrm{Proj}\,(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(6) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$, *then* $-K_X^3 = 70$ *and* $X = X_{70}$ *is that constructed in Proposition 3.2.*

Proof. For $W = \mathrm{Proj}\,(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(6) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ we have $\varphi_{|-K_W|}(W) = \mathbb{P}(6, 4, 1, 1)$ (see [11, Ch. 4, Remark 4.2]). Then from Remark 4.5 and the assumption $\dim \mathcal{L}_W \in \{35, 36, 37\}$ we deduce that the initial Fano threefold X must be an image of $\mathbb{P}(6, 4, 1, 1) \subset \mathbb{P}^{38}$ under the birational projection from a point, a line or a plane. Let $\pi : \mathbb{P}(6, 4, 1, 1) \dashrightarrow X$ be this projection.

Lemma 5.12. *If* π *is the projection from a point, then* $X = X_{70}$ *is that constructed in Proposition 3.2.*

Proof. Let O be the center of the projection π. Then O belongs to $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(6, 4, 1, 1)$ because otherwise π is an isomorphism which is impossible because $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{P}^{38}$ is not degenerate. Moreover, if O is a smooth point on $\mathbb{P}$, then $-K_X^3 = 71$ which is also impossible.

In the notation of Example 2.14, if O is distinct from P and Q, then $O \in \mathbb{P}$ is a cA$_1$ point. By Lemma 3.6 we get $X = X_{70}$.

Now, if O is either P or Q, then general hyperplane section S of $\mathbb{P}$ through O is a K3 surface with singularities worse than Du Val. Indeed, otherwise either P or Q is a cDV point which is impossible (see Proposition 2.23, Example 2.14 and Theorem 2.21). In particular, we have $\kappa(S) < 0$ for the Kodaira dimension of the surface S.

On the other hand, the projection π induces a birational map $S \dashrightarrow S'$ on general surface $S' \in |-K_X|$. This implies that $\kappa(S) = \kappa(S')$. But S' is a K3 surface with canonical singularities by Theorem 2.1. Thus, we get $0 = \kappa(S') = \kappa(S) < 0$, a contradiction. □

By Lemma 5.12 it remains to consider the cases when π is either the projection from some line γ or some plane Ω. We will show that in each of these cases $-K_X^3 \notin \{66, 68, 70\}$.

Let us consider the projection from line γ first. Since $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(6, 4, 1, 1)$ is an intersection of quadrics (see Proposition 2.4), its intersection with γ is either a set of $\leqslant 2$ points or the whole γ. Note that $\gamma \cap \mathbb{P} \neq \emptyset$ because $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{P}^{38}$ is not degenerate.

Lemma 5.13. *The line* γ *is not contained in* $\mathbb{P}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\gamma \subset \mathbb{P}$. Then we have $-K_{\mathbb{P}} \cdot \gamma = 1$. On the other hand, on $\mathbb{P}$ we have $-K_{\mathbb{P}} \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(12)$ (see [10]). Hence

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \cdot \gamma = \frac{1}{12}$$

which implies that γ passes through a singular point on $\mathbb{P}$.

If γ contains a cDV point, then by Lemma 3.10 γ coincides with the singular locus of $\mathbb{P}$. As in the proof of Lemma 5.12, for general hyperplane section S of $\mathbb{P}$ through γ we have $\kappa(S) < 0$ and for its birational image S' on X we have $\kappa(S') = 0$, a contradiction. In the same way we obtain a contradiction when γ contains one of the non-cDV points of $\mathbb{P}$. □

Thus, γ intersects $\mathbb{P}$ at $\leqslant 2$ points.

Lemma 5.14. *In the above assumptions, γ contains only smooth points of $\mathbb{P}$.*

Proof. In the notation of Example 2.14, if γ contains a singular point on $\mathbb{P}$ distinct from P and Q, then by Lemma 3.6 X is an image under the birational projection of the threefold X_{70} from some point O on X_{70}.

If O is a smooth point, then $-K_X^3 = 69$ which is impossible.

Thus, by Proposition 3.8 $O \in X_{70}$ is the unique non-cDV point. Now, as in the proof of Lemma 5.12, for general hyperplane section S of X_{70} through O we have $\kappa(S) < 0$ and for its birational image S' on X we have $\kappa(S') = 0$.

The obtained contradiction implies that γ contains only P and Q. Again, as in the proof of Lemma 5.12, for general hyperplane section S of $\mathbb{P}$ through γ we have $\kappa(S) < 0$ and for its birational image S' on X we have $\kappa(S') = 0$, a contradiction. □

Thus, γ intersects $\mathbb{P}$ at $\leqslant 2$ smooth points.

Lemma 5.15. *In the above assumptions, we have $-K_X^3 = 72$.*

Proof. Let S be a general hyperplane section of $\mathbb{P}$ through γ. Then S is a non-singular K3 surface because $\gamma \cap \mathbb{P}$ consists of $\leqslant 2$ smooth points. Furthermore, π induces a birational map $\chi : S \dashrightarrow S'$ on general surface $S' \in |-K_X|$ having canonical singularities (see Theorem 2.1). This implies that S is the minimal resolution of S' and χ is a regular morphism.

Projection π is given by the linear system $\mathcal{L}$ of all hyperplane sections of $\mathbb{P}$ through γ. Set $\mathcal{L}_S := \mathcal{L}|_S$ and $\mathcal{L}_{S'} := |-K_X||_{S'}$. Then we have $\mathcal{L}_S = \chi^*\mathcal{L}_{S'}$ and in particular $(L)^2 = (L')^2$ for $L \in \mathcal{L}_S$ and $L' \in \mathcal{L}_{S'}$.

On the other hand, we have $(L')^2 = -K_X^3$ and $(L)^2 = -K_{\mathbb{P}}^3$. Thus, we obtain

$$-K_X^3 = (L')^2 = (L)^2 = 72.$$

□

From Lemma 5.15 we get a contradiction with $-K_X^3 \in \{66, 68, 70\}$. Now we turn to the final case when π is the projection from plane Ω.

Lemma 5.16. *The plane Ω is not contained in $\mathbb{P}(6, 4, 1, 1)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\Pi \subset \mathbb{P}(6, 4, 1, 1)$. Then, as in the proof of Lemma 5.13, any line on Π passes through a singular point on $\mathbb{P}(6, 4, 1, 1)$. Now repeating word by word the arguments from the proof of Lemma 5.13 we obtain a contradiction. □

Since $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(6, 4, 1, 1)$ is an intersection of quadrics, by Lemma 5.16 the intersection of $\mathbb{P}$ with Ω is either a set of $\leqslant 4$ points or a (non-reduced, reduced or irreducible) conic. Again we note that $\Omega \cap \mathbb{P} \neq \emptyset$ because $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{P}^{38}$ is not degenerate.

Lemma 5.17. *If $\Omega \cap \mathbb{P}$ is a finite set, then it contains only smooth points of $\mathbb{P}$.*

Proof. In the notation of Example 2.14, if Ω contains a singular point on $\mathbb{P}$ distinct from P and Q, then by Lemma 3.6 X is an image under the birational projection of the threefold X_{70} from some line γ' which is not contained in X_{70} and $\gamma' \cap X_{70} \neq \emptyset$.

If γ' does not pass through the singular point on X_{70}, then repeating word by word the arguments from the proof of Lemma 5.15 we obtain that $-K_X^3 = -K_{X_{70}}^3$. On the other hand, we must have $-K_X^3 < -K_{X_{70}}^3$, since the degree must decrease, a contradiction.

Thus, γ' contains unique singular point O on X_{70} (see Proposition 3.8). As in the proof of Lemma 5.12, for general hyperplane section S of X_{70} through O we have $\kappa(S) < 0$ and for its birational image S' on X we have $\kappa(S') = 0$.

The obtained contradiction implies that Ω contains only P and Q. Again, as in the proof of Lemma 5.12, for general hyperplane section S of $\mathbb{P}$ through Ω we have $\kappa(S) < 0$ and for its birational image S' on X we have $\kappa(S') = 0$, a contradiction. □

Thus, if Ω intersects $\mathbb{P}$ by $\leqslant 4$ points, then by Lemma 5.17 and the arguments from the proof of Lemma 5.15 we obtain that $-K_X^3 = 72$ which is a contradiction with $-K_X^3 \in \{66, 68, 70\}$.

Suppose that Ω intersects $\mathbb{P}$ by some conic C.

Lemma 5.18. *In the above notation, the set* $C \cap \operatorname{Sing}(\mathbb{P})$ *is non-empty and consists of* cA_1 *points.*

Proof. Since $-K_{\mathbb{P}} \cdot C = 2$ and $-K_{\mathbb{P}} \sim \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(12)$, on $\mathbb{P}$ we have

$$\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \cdot C = \frac{1}{6}.$$

This implies that C passes through a singular point on $\mathbb{P}$.

Furthermore, in the notation of Example 2.14, if C contains either P or Q, then as in the proof of Lemma 5.12, for general hyperplane section S of $\mathbb{P}$ through Ω we have $\kappa(S) < 0$ and for its birational image S' on X we have $\kappa(S') = 0$, a contradiction. □

From Lemmas 5.18 and 3.6 we deduce that X is an image under the birational projection of the threefold X_{70} from some line γ' on X_{70}.

Lemma 5.19. *In the above notation, the line γ' passes through the singular point on X_{70}.*

Proof. If γ' does not pass through the singular point on X_{70}, then by construction X_{70} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}$ near γ'. This implies that there is a line on $\mathbb{P}$ not passing through the singular points which is impossible (see the proof of Lemma 5.13). □

Thus, γ' contains unique singular point O on X_{70} (see Proposition 3.8). Then, as in the proof of Lemma 5.12, for general hyperplane section S of X_{70} through O we have $\kappa(S) < 0$ and for its birational image S' on X we have $\kappa(S') = 0$, a contradiction.

Proposition 5.11 is completely proved. □

Now, for $W_\eta \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ and $H|_{W_\eta} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$ according to Proposition 8.7 in [26] *there is a* $(K_W + \mathcal{L}_W)$*-crepant birational map* $W \dashrightarrow W_0$ *onto a* $\mathbb{P}^2$*-bundle* W_0 *over* V. Then the pair $(W_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$ is canonical and the linear system $\mathcal{L}_0 \subset |-K_{W_0}|$ gives a birational map $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}_0} : W_0 \dashrightarrow X$ (see Remarks 4.4 and 4.5). Again by Lemma 5.1 either $W_0 = \operatorname{Proj}(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(5) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ or $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(6) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ and we repeat the arguments from the proofs of Propositions 5.2 and 5.11 to get that the initial Fano threefold X is either X_{66} or X_{70} (see Remarks 3.12 and 5.7).

Finally, if $W_\eta \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, then Proposition 9.4 in [26] can be applied to the initial Fano threefold X having $-K_X^3 \in \{66, 68, 70\}$. Again *there is a* $(K_W + \mathcal{L}_W)$*-crepant birational map* $W \dashrightarrow W_0$ *onto a* $\mathbb{P}^2$*-bundle* W_0 *over* V and the previous arguments work.

Theorem 1.4 is completely proved in the case when V is a curve.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4 IN THE CASE WHEN V IS A SURFACE

We use notation and assumptions from Section 4. In the present section $g : W \longrightarrow V$ is a $(K_W + \mathcal{H}_W)$-negative extremal contraction on the surface V. Following the lines in the proof of Proposition 10.3 in [26] we will show that this situation is impossible.

Lemma 6.1 ([26, Lemma 10.1])**.** *The surface V is non-singular and the contraction $g : W \longrightarrow V$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle.*

For the fibre F of the contraction g we have $-K_W \cdot F = 2$. This and the equivalence

$$K_W + \mathcal{H}_W + D_W \sim 0$$

imply that divisors $H \in \mathcal{H}_W$ and D_W are the sections of g.

Set $\mathcal{E} := g_*\mathcal{O}_W(H)$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is a rank 2 vector bundle and $W \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$. By Lemma 4.4 in [26] we have

$$\dim|H| + 1 = h^0(V, \mathcal{E}) = \chi(V, \mathcal{E}). \tag{6.2}$$

Proposition 6.3. *In the above notation, we have* $\dim|H| \in \{32, 33, 34, 35\}$.

Proof. According to Remark 4.6 we have $\dim|H| \geqslant 32$.

Suppose that $\dim|H| \geqslant 36$. Then it follows from the proof of Proposition 10.3 in [26] that $W = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(6))$. In this case the anti-canonical image of W is $\mathbb{P}(3, 1, 1, 1)$ (see Example 2.12).

From Remark 4.5 and the assumption $\dim \mathcal{L}_W \in \{35, 36, 37\}$ we deduce that the initial Fano threefold X must be an image of $\varphi_{|-K_W|}(W) = \mathbb{P}(3, 1, 1, 1) \subset \mathbb{P}^{38}$ under the birational projection from a point, a line or a plane. Let $\pi : \mathbb{P}(3, 1, 1, 1) \dashrightarrow X$ be this projection.

Lemma 6.4. *If π is the projection from a point, then* $K_X^3 \notin \{66, 68, 70\}$.

Proof. Let O be the center of the projection π. Then O belongs to $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(3, 1, 1, 1)$ because otherwise π is an isomorphism which is impossible because $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{P}^{38}$ is not degenerate. If O is a smooth point on $\mathbb{P}$, then $-K_X^3 = 71$.

Now let O be the unique singular point on $\mathbb{P}$. Then singularity $O \in \mathbb{P}$ is non-cDV (see Example 2.12 and Theorem 2.21). This implies that general hyperplane section S of $\mathbb{P}$ through O is a K3 surface with singularities worse than Du Val (see Proposition 2.23). In particular, we have $\kappa(S) < 0$ for the Kodaira dimension of S.

On the other hand, the projection π induces a birational map $S \dashrightarrow S'$ on general surface $S' \in |-K_X|$. This implies that $\kappa(S) = \kappa(S')$. But the surface S' has canonical singularities by Theorem 2.1. Thus, we get $0 = \kappa(S') = \kappa(S) < 0$, a contradiction. □

Lemma 6.5. *If π is the projection from a line, then* $-K_X^3 \notin \{66, 68, 70\}$.

Proof. Let γ be the center of the projection π. Then γ must intersect $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(3, 1, 1, 1)$ because otherwise π is an isomorphism which is impossible because $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{P}^{38}$ is not degenerate. Moreover, γ is not contained in $\mathbb{P}$, since divisor $-K_{\mathbb{P}}$ is divisible in $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{P})$, and intersects $\mathbb{P}$ at $\leqslant 2$ points because $\mathbb{P}$ is an intersection of quadrics (see Proposition 2.4).

If γ contains unique singular point on $\mathbb{P}$, then as in the proof of Lemma 6.4 for general hyperplane section S of $\mathbb{P}$ through γ we have $\kappa(S) < 0$ and for its birational image S' on X we have $\kappa(S') = 0$. Thus, we get $0 = \kappa(S') = \kappa(S) < 0$, a contradiction.

Finally, if γ contains only smooth points on $\mathbb{P}$, then general hyperplane section S of $\mathbb{P}$ through γ is a non-singular K3 surface. Furthermore, the projection π induces a birational map $\eta : S \dashrightarrow S'$ on general surface $S' \in |-K_X|$. The surface S' has canonical singularities by Theorem 2.1. This implies that S is the minimal resolution of S' and η is a regular morphism.

Projection π is given by the linear system $\mathcal{L}$ of all hyperplane sections of $\mathbb{P}$ through γ. Set $\mathcal{L}_S := \mathcal{L}|_S$ and $\mathcal{L}_{S'} := |-K_X||_{S'}$. Then we have $\mathcal{L}_S = \eta^*\mathcal{L}_{S'}$ and in particular $(L)^2 = (L')^2$ for $L \in \mathcal{L}_S$ and $L' \in \mathcal{L}_{S'}$.

On the other hand, we have $(L')^2 = -K_X^3$ and $(L)^2 = -K_{\mathbb{P}}^3$. Thus, we get

$$-K_X^3 = (L')^2 = (L)^2 = 72.$$

□

Lemma 6.6. *If π is the projection from a plane, then* $-K_X^3 \notin \{66, 68, 70\}$.

Proof. Let Ω be the center of the projection π. Then Ω must intersect $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(3,1,1,1)$ because otherwise π is an isomorphism which is impossible because $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{P}^{38}$ is not degenerate. Moreover, Ω is not contained in $\mathbb{P}$, since divisor $-K_{\mathbb{P}}$ is divisible in $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathbb{P})$. Then by Proposition 2.4 Ω intersects $\mathbb{P}$ either at $\leqslant 4$ points or a conic.

If Ω intersects $\mathbb{P}$ at $\leqslant 4$ points, then we repeat word by word the arguments from the proof of Lemma 6.5 to obtain that $-K_X^3 = 72$.

Now let $\Omega \cap \mathbb{P}$ be a conic C. Note that C is reduced and irreducible because divisor $-K_{\mathbb{P}}$ is divisible in $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathbb{P})$. The image of $\mathbb{P}$ under the isomorphism $\varphi_{|-\frac{1}{2}K_{\mathbb{P}}|}$ is the cone over del Pezzo surface of degree 9. This implies that $\varphi_{|-\frac{1}{2}K_{\mathbb{P}}|}(C)$ is the generatrix of this cone.

Hence conic C contains unique singular point on $\mathbb{P}$. Then, as in the proof of Lemma 6.4 for general hyperplane section S of $\mathbb{P}$ through Ω we have $\kappa(S) < 0$ and for its birational image S' on X we have $\kappa(S') = 0$. Thus, we get $0 = \kappa(S') = \kappa(S) < 0$, a contradiction. □

From Lemmas 6.4–6.6 we derive a contradiction with $\dim |H| \geqslant 36$. Proposition 6.3 is completely proved. □

Lemma 6.7 ([26]). *In the above notation, the surface V is rational.*

Proof. From the Leray spectral sequence and Kawamata–Vieweg Vanishing Theorem we deduce that $H^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V) = H^1(W, \mathcal{O}_W) = 0$.

Furthermore, the surface V is dominated by an irreducible component of the surface D_W of negative Kodaira dimension (see Remark 4.1). Since V is non-singular by Lemma 6.1, this implies that the surface V is rational. □

Remark 6.8. By [26, Lemma 10.4] and Lemma 6.7 we may assume that either $V \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{F}_n$ for some $n \neq 1$. Moreover, by [26, Lemma 10.12] in the last case we have $n \leqslant 4$.

Let us denote by $c_i := c_i(\mathcal{E})$ the i-th Chern class of the rank 2 vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$, $i = 1, 2$. Then by the relative Euler exact sequence we have

$$-K_W \sim 2H + g^*(-K_V - c_1)$$

and by the Hirsh formula

$$H^2 \equiv H \cdot g^* c_1 - c_2, \qquad H^3 = c_1^2 - c_2.$$

In particular, we get the following formula:

$$-K_W^3 = 6K_V^2 + 2c_1^2 - 8c_2. \tag{6.9}$$

Recall also the Riemann–Roch formula for rank 2 vector bundles over a rational surface:

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}) = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(c_1^2 - 2c_2 - K_V \cdot c_1\right) + 2. \tag{6.10}$$

We will need the following lemmas:

Lemma 6.11 ([26]). *In the above notation and assumptions, the inequality*

$$c_1 \cdot B \leqslant -3K_V \cdot B$$

holds for every nef divisor B on V.

Proof. Since

$$D_W \sim H + g^*(-K_V - c_1),$$

for the nef divisor B on the surface V we have

$$0 \leqslant -K_W \cdot D_W \cdot g^*B = 2H^2 \cdot g^*B + 3(-K_V - c_1) \cdot B = 2c_1 \cdot B + 3(-K_V - c_1) \cdot B = -3K_V \cdot B - c_1 \cdot B.$$

□

Lemma 6.12 ([26]). *In the above notation and assumptions, let $B > 0$ be a nef divisor on the surface V such that $-K_W \cdot D_W \cdot g^*B = 0$. Then divisor $-K_W$ is nef.*

Proof. Let R be a horizontal curve on W such that $-K_W \cdot R < 0$. Then

$$D_W \cdot R = (-K_W - H) \cdot R < 0.$$

This implies that $R \subseteq L \cap D_W$ for a general surface $L \in |-K_W|$. But from the condition of lemma we deduce that the intersection $L \cap D_W$ is composed of fibres of the morphism g, a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 6.13. *In the above notation and assumptions, the class $-K_V + c_1$ is nef on V.*

Proof. The linear system $|-K_W|$ does not have fixed components and divisor H is nef (see Remarks 4.4 and 4.5). Then for every irreducible curve C on the surface V we have

$$0 \leqslant -K_W \cdot H \cdot g^*C = 2H^2 \cdot g^*C + (-K_V - c_1) \cdot C = 2c_1 \cdot C + (-K_V - c_1) \cdot C = (-K_V + c_1) \cdot C.$$

$\square$

Lemma 6.14 ([26, Lemma 10.6]). *In the above notation and assumptions, let $Z \subset V$ be an irreducible rational curve such that $\dim |Z| > 0$ and let $\mathcal{E}|_Z \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_2)$. Then $|d_1 - d_2| \leqslant 2 + Z^2$. Moreover, if $V \simeq \mathbb{F}_n$, $n \geqslant 0$, and Z is the tautological section on V, then $|d_1 - d_2| = c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot Z$.*

Proof. Let $m := |d_1 - d_2|$ and $G := g^{-1}(Z)$. Then $G \simeq \mathbb{F}_m$ and for the negative section Σ on G we have

$$-2 + m = -2 - \Sigma^2 = K_G \cdot \Sigma = K_W \cdot \Sigma + G \cdot \Sigma = K_W \cdot \Sigma + Z^2. \tag{6.15}$$

Since the linear system $|-K_W|$ does not have fixed components, we get $K_W \cdot \Sigma \leqslant 0$ and hence $m \leqslant 2 + Z^2$.

Moreover, if Z is the tautological section on $V \simeq \mathbb{F}_n$, $n \geqslant 0$, then $Z^2 = n$ and

$$K_W \cdot \Sigma = g^*(K_V + c_1(\mathcal{E})) \cdot \Sigma = (K_V + c_1(\mathcal{E})) \cdot Z = -n - 2 + c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot Z.$$

From (6.15) we obtain $m = c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot Z$. $\square$

Recall that by Remark 6.8 we have either $V = \mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{F}_n$ where $n \in \{0, 2, 3, 4\}$. We will show that each of these cases is impossible.

Proposition 6.16. *In the above notation and assumptions, we have $V \neq \mathbb{P}^2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $V = \mathbb{P}^2$.

We have $H^{2i}(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, 2$. Hence we may assume that c_1 and c_2 are integers. Then by Lemma 6.11 $0 \leqslant c_1 \leqslant 9$.

Lemma 6.17. *In the above notation, the rank 2 vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is not decomposable.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ is decomposable. Then $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(a + b)$ for some $b \geqslant 0$. Since H is nef we also have $a \geqslant 0$.

Furthermore, we have $c_1 = 2a + b$ and $c_2 = a^2 + ab$. Thus, from (6.10) we get

$$\chi(V, \mathcal{E}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(2a^2 + 2ab + b^2 + 6a + 3b\right) + 2.$$

By (6.2) and Lemma 6.3 $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}) \in \{33, 34, 35, 36\}$. Then from the previous expression and the estimates a, $b \geqslant 0$, $0 \leqslant c_1 \leqslant 9$ it is easy to see that the only possibility is $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}) = 36$ and $(a, b) = (4, 1)$.

In particular, we have $c_1 = -3K_V$. Then it follows from the proof of Lemma 6.11 that

$$-K_W \cdot D_W \cdot g^*B = 0$$

for every curve B on the surface V. From Lemma 6.12 we deduce that $-K_W$ is nef and hence W is a weak Fano threefold.

On the other hand, for $(a, b) = (4, 1)$ we have $c_2 = 20$. Then by (6.9) $-K_W^3 = 56$ and by the Riemann–Roch formula and Kawamata–Viehweg Vanishing Theorem we get

$$\dim |-K_W| = -\frac{1}{2}K_W^3 + 2 = 30.$$

But according to Remark 4.6 $\dim |-K_W| \geqslant 35$, a contradiction. □

Remark 6.18. It follows from the arguments in the proof of Proposition 10.3 in [26] that if $c_1 = 9$, then $\mathcal{E}$ is decomposable. Thus, by Lemma 6.17 we have $0 \leqslant c_1 \leqslant 8$.

Lemma 6.19. *The class* c_1 *is even.*

Proof. Suppose that c_1 is odd. Then by Remark 6.18 we have $c_1 = 2m - 3$ for some $2 \leqslant m \leqslant 5$. Since by (6.2) and Lemma 6.3 we have $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}) \in \{33, 34, 35, 36\}$, from (6.10) we get $2m^2 - 3m - c_2 \geqslant 31$. Then

$$c_1(\mathcal{E}(-m)) = -3, \qquad c_2(\mathcal{E}(-m)) = c_2 - m^2 + 3m \leqslant m^2 - 31 < 0.$$

By the Riemann–Roch formula and Serre Duality we obtain

$$h^0(\mathcal{E}(-m)) + h^0(\mathcal{E}(-m) \otimes \det \mathcal{E}(-m)^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-3)) \geqslant \chi(V, \mathcal{E}(-m)) \geqslant 1.$$

Thus, $H^0(\mathcal{E}(-m)) \neq 0$. Let $s \in H^0(\mathcal{E}(-m))$ be a nonzero section. If s does not vanish anywhere, then there is an embedding $\mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}(-m)$ and $\mathcal{E}(-m)$ is decomposable. This contradicts Lemma 6.17.

Let $\emptyset \neq Y \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be the zero locus of s. Since $c_2(\mathcal{E}(-m)) < 0$, we have $\dim Y = 1$. Take a general line $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ and let the intersection $Z \cap Y$ consists of k points. Since $c_1(\mathcal{E}(-m)) = -3$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(-m)|_Z = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-k-3).$$

Then by Lemma 6.14 we get $2k + 3 \leqslant 3$. Hence $k = 0$ and $Y = \emptyset$, a contradiction. □

From Lemma 6.19 and Remark 6.18 we deduce that $c_1 = 2m - 2$ for some $1 \leqslant m \leqslant 5$. Since by (6.2) and Lemma 6.3 we have $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}) \in \{33, 34, 35, 36\}$, from (6.10) we get $2m^2 - m - c_2 \geqslant 32$. Then

$$c_1(\mathcal{E}(-m)) = -2, \qquad c_2(\mathcal{E}(-m)) = c_2 - m^2 + 2m \leqslant m^2 + m - 32 < 0.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 6.19, we obtain $H^0(\mathcal{E}(-m)) \neq 0$ and a section $s \in H^0(\mathcal{E}(-m))$ with one-dimensional zero locus $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^2$.

Take a general line $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ and let the intersection $Z \cap Y$ consists of k points. Since $c_1(\mathcal{E}(-m)) = -2$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(-m)|_Z = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-k-2).$$

Then by Lemma 6.14 we get $2k + 2 \leqslant 3$. Hence $k = 0$ and $Y = \emptyset$, a contradiction.

Proposition 6.16 is completely proved. □

Proposition 6.20. *In the above notation, we have* $V \neq \mathbb{F}_n$ *for* $n \in \{0, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $V = \mathbb{F}_n$, $n \in \{0, 2, 3, 4\}$.

We have $H^4(\mathbb{F}_n, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and $H^2(\mathbb{F}_n, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \cdot h \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot l$ where h is the negative section and l is a fibre on $\mathbb{F}_n$. Set $c_1 := ah + bl$, $c_2 := c$ where a, b, $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then by Lemma 6.11 we have

$$a = c_1 \cdot l \leqslant -3K_V \cdot l = 6, \qquad b = c_1 \cdot (h + nl) \leqslant 3(2 + n). \tag{6.21}$$

Moreover, $a \geqslant 0$ and $b \geqslant na$ because H is nef.

Let p, q be the integers such that $a = 2p + a'$, $b = 2q + b'$ for some a', $b' \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $-2 \leqslant a', b' \leqslant -1$. Consider the twisted bundle $\mathcal{E}' := \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_\ltimes}(-p-q)$. Set $c'_i := c_i(\mathcal{E}')$ to be the i-th Chern class of $\mathcal{E}'$, $i = 1$, 2. We have

$$c'_1 = a'h + b'l, \qquad c'_2 = c + nap - aq - bp - np^2 + 2pq. \tag{6.22}$$

Furthermore, from (6.10) we obtain

$$\chi(V,\mathcal{E}) = -\tfrac{1}{2}na(a+1) + ab + a + b - c + 2 \tag{6.23}$$

and

$$\chi(V,\mathcal{E}') = (b' - \tfrac{1}{2}na')(a'+1) + a' - c_2' + 2. \tag{6.24}$$

Lemma 6.25. *In the above notation, if $n = 0$, then $c_2' < 0$ and $\chi(V,\mathcal{E}') > 0$.*

Proof. By (6.21) we have $0 \leqslant a, b \leqslant 6$. Furthermore, from (6.22) and (6.23) we get

$$c_2' = c - aq - bp + 2pq = \frac{1}{2}ab + a + b - \chi(V,\mathcal{E}) + 2 + \frac{1}{2}a'b'.$$

By (6.2) and Lemma 6.3 $\chi(V,\mathcal{E}) \in \{33, 34, 35, 36\}$. Then it is easy to see that $c_2' < 0$ when either a or b is less than 6. Moreover, $c_2' < 0$ for all $0 \leqslant a, b \leqslant 6$ and $\chi(V,\mathcal{E}) \in \{35, 36\}$.

Suppose that $a = b = 6$ and $\chi(V,\mathcal{E}) \in \{33, 34\}$. In particular, we have $c_1 = 6h + 6l = -3K_V$. Then it follows from the proof of Lemma 6.11 that

$$-K_W \cdot D_W \cdot g^*B = 0$$

for every curve B on the surface V. From Lemma 6.12 we deduce that $-K_W$ is nef and hence W is a weak Fano threefold.

On the other hand, from (6.23) we get

$$\chi(V,\mathcal{E}) = ab + a + b - c + 2$$

Then for $a = b = 6$ and $\chi(V,\mathcal{E}) \in \{33, 34\}$ we have $c \in \{16, 17\}$. From (6.9) we get $-K_W^3 \in \{64, 56\}$ and hence by the Riemann–Roch formula and Kawamata–Vieweg Vanishing Theorem

$$\dim|-K_W| = -\frac{1}{2}K_W^3 + 2 \leqslant 34.$$

But according to Remark 4.6 $\dim|-K_W| \geqslant 35$, a contradiction. Thus, we get $c_2' < 0$.

Now, from (6.24) we get

$$\chi(V,\mathcal{E}') = b'(a'+1) + a' - c_2' + 2.$$

Since $-2 \leqslant a', b' \leqslant -1$ and $c_2' < 0$, we have $\chi(V,\mathcal{E}') > 0$. □

Lemma 6.26. *In the above notation, if $n = 2$, then $c_2' < 0$ and $\chi(V,\mathcal{E}') > 0$.*

Proof. By (6.21) we have $2a \leqslant b \leqslant 12$ and $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 6$. Furthermore, from (6.22) and (6.23) we get

$$c_2' = c + 2ap - aq - bp - 2p^2 + 2pq = -\frac{1}{2}a^2 + \frac{1}{2}ab + b - \chi(V,\mathcal{E}) + 2 - \frac{1}{2}a'^2 + \frac{1}{2}a'b'.$$

By (6.2) and Lemma 6.3 $\chi(V,\mathcal{E}) \in \{33, 34, 35, 36\}$. Then for $2a \leqslant b \leqslant 12$ and $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 6$ we have

$$c_2' \leqslant -\frac{1}{2}a^2 + 6a + 14 - \chi(V,\mathcal{E}) - \frac{1}{2}a'^2 - a' \leqslant 32 - \chi(V,\mathcal{E}) - \frac{1}{2}a'^2 - a' \leqslant -1 - \frac{1}{2}a'^2 - a' < 0.$$

Finally, from (6.24) we get

$$\chi(V,\mathcal{E}') = (b' - a')(a'+1) + a' - c_2' + 2.$$

Then $\chi(V,\mathcal{E}') \leqslant 0$ only for $a' = -2$ and $b' = -1$ because $-2 \leqslant a', b' \leqslant -1$ and $c_2' < 0$.

But if $a' = -2$ and $b' = -1$, then $\chi(V,\mathcal{E}') \leqslant 0$ only for $c_2' = -1$. Moreover, for $b' = -1$ we have $b \leqslant 11$. Thus, we get

$$-1 = c_2' \leqslant -\frac{1}{2}a^2 + \frac{11}{2}a + 12 - \chi(V,\mathcal{E}) < -1,$$

a contradiction. Hence $\chi(V,\mathcal{E}') > 0$. □

Lemma 6.27. *In the above notation, if* $n = 3$, *then* $c_2' < 0$ *and* $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}') > 0$.

Proof. By (6.21) we have $3a \leqslant b \leqslant 15$ and hence $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 5$. Furthermore, from (6.22) and (6.23) we get

$$c_2' = c + 3ap - aq - bp - 3p^2 + 2pq = -\frac{3}{4}a^2 + \frac{1}{2}ab - \frac{1}{2}a + b - \chi(V, \mathcal{E}) + 2 - \frac{3}{4}a'^2 + \frac{1}{2}a'b'.$$

By (6.2) and Lemma 6.3 $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}) \in \{33, 34, 35, 36\}$. If $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}) \in \{34, 35, 36\}$, then for $3a \leqslant b \leqslant 15$ and $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 5$ we have

$$c_2' \leqslant -\frac{3}{4}a^2 + 7a + 17 - \chi(V, \mathcal{E}) - \frac{3}{4}a'^2 + \frac{1}{2}a'b' < 33 - \chi(V, \mathcal{E}) - \frac{1}{2}b' \leqslant 0.$$

If $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}) = 33$, then for $3a \leqslant b \leqslant 14$ and $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 5$ we have

$$c_2' \leqslant -\frac{3}{4}a^2 + \frac{13}{2}a - 17 - \frac{3}{4}a'^2 + \frac{1}{2}a'b' \leqslant -\frac{3}{4}a^2 + \frac{13}{2}a - 15 - \frac{3}{4}a'^2 < 0.$$

Furthermore, for $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}) = 33$, $b = 15$ and $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 4$ we have

$$c_2' = -\frac{3}{4}a^2 + 7a - 16 - \frac{3}{4}a'^2 - \frac{1}{2}a' < 0.$$

Suppose that $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}) = 33$, $a = 5$ and $b = 15$ (note that $c_2' = 0$ in this case). Then from (6.21) and the proof of Lemma 6.11 we deduce that

$$-K_W \cdot D_W \cdot g^*B = 0$$

where $B \sim h + 3l$ on V. Lemma 6.12 implies that $-K_W$ is nef and hence W is a weak Fano threefold.

On the other hand, from (6.23) we get

$$\chi(V, \mathcal{E}) = -\frac{3}{2}a(a+1) + ab + a + b - c + 2.$$

Then for $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}) = 33$, $a = 5$ and $b = 15$ we have $c = 19$. From (6.9) we get $-K_W^3 = 46$ and hence by the Riemann–Roch formula and Kawamata–Vieweg Vanishing Theorem

$$\dim |-K_W| = -\frac{1}{2}K_W^3 + 2 = 25.$$

But according to Remark 4.6 $\dim |-K_W| \geqslant 35$, a contradiction. Thus, we get $c_2' < 0$.

Now, from (6.24) we get

$$\chi(V, \mathcal{E}') = (b' - \frac{3}{2}a')(a' + 1) + a' - c_2' + 2.$$

Then $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}') \leqslant 0$ only for $a' = -2$ because $-2 \leqslant a', b' \leqslant -1$ and $c_2' < 0$.

If $a' = -2$ and $b' = -1$, then $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}') = -2 - c_2'$ and

$$c_2' = -\frac{3}{4}a^2 + \frac{1}{2}ab - \frac{1}{2}a + b - \chi(V, \mathcal{E}).$$

Since $a' = -2$, we get $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 4$. Then for $3a \leqslant b \leqslant 13$ we have

$$c_2' \leqslant -\frac{3}{4}a^2 + 6a + 13 - \chi(V, \mathcal{E}) \leqslant -\frac{3}{4}a^2 + 6a - 20 < -2.$$

Furthermore, for $b > 13$ we get $b = 15$ because $b' = -1$. Then for $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 3$ we have

$$c_2' \leqslant -\frac{3}{4}a^2 + 7a + 15 - \chi(V, \mathcal{E}) \leqslant -\frac{3}{4}a^2 + 7a - 18 < -2.$$

Suppose that $a = 4$ and $b = 15$ (note that $c_2' = -2$ and hence $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}') = 0$ in this case). Then from (6.21) and the proof of Lemma 6.11 we deduce that

$$-K_W \cdot D_W \cdot g^*B = 0$$

where $B \sim h + 3l$ on V. Lemma 6.12 implies that $-K_W$ is nef and hence W is a weak Fano threefold. On the other hand, for $a = 4$ and $b = 15$ from (6.23) we get $c = 51 - \chi(V, \mathcal{E})$ and hence $c \in \{15, 16, 17, 18\}$. Then by (6.9) we have $-K_W^3 \in \{48, 56, 64, 72\}$.

If $-K_W^3 = 72$, then from Theorem 1.3, Remarks 2.9, 2.13 and 2.15 we deduce that the threefold W is isomorphic to that constructed either in Example 2.12 or in Example 2.14. In particular, we have either $\rho(W) = 2$ or 5 which is impossible because $\rho(W) = 3$.

Furthermore, for $-K_W^3 \in \{48, 56, 64\}$ by the Riemann–Roch formula and Kawamata–Vieweg Vanishing Theorem we have

$$\dim |-K_W| = -\frac{1}{2}K_W^3 + 2 \leqslant 34.$$

But according to Remark 4.6 $\dim |-K_W| \geqslant 35$, a contradiction.

Finally, if $a' = -2$ and $b' = -2$, then $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}') = -1 - c_2'$ and

$$c_2' = -\frac{3}{4}a^2 + \frac{1}{2}ab - \frac{1}{2}a + b - \chi(V, \mathcal{E}) + 1.$$

We have $a \leqslant 4$ and $3a \leqslant b \leqslant 14$. Then

$$c_2' \leqslant -\frac{3}{4}a^2 + \frac{13}{2}a - 18 < -1.$$

Thus, we get $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}') > 0$. ☐

Lemma 6.28. *In the above notation, if* $n = 4$, *then* $c_2' < 0$ *and* $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}') > 0$.

Proof. By (6.21) we have $4a \leqslant b \leqslant 18$ and hence $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 4$. Furthermore, from (6.22) and (6.23) we get

$$c_2' = c + 4ap - aq - bp - 4p^2 + 2pq = -a^2 + \frac{1}{2}ab - a + b - \chi(V, \mathcal{E}) + 2 - a'^2 + \frac{1}{2}a'b'.$$

By (6.2) and Lemma 6.3 $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}) \in \{33, 34, 35, 36\}$. If $b \leqslant 17$, then for $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 4$ we have

$$c_2' \leqslant -a^2 + \frac{15}{2}a - 14 - a'^2 + \frac{1}{2}a'b' \leqslant -a^2 + \frac{15}{2}a - 14 - a'^2 - a' < 0.$$

Suppose that $b = 18$ and $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}) \in \{33, 34, 35\}$. Then $c_2' \geqslant 0$ only for $a \in \{3, 4\}$. Furthermore, from (6.21) and the proof of Lemma 6.11 we deduce that

$$-K_W \cdot D_W \cdot g^*B = 0$$

where $B \sim h + 4l$ on V. Lemma 6.12 implies that $-K_W$ is nef and hence W is a weak Fano threefold.

On the other hand, for $a \in \{3, 4\}$ and $b = 18$ from (6.23) we get

$$c = a(17 - 2a) + 20 - \chi(V, \mathcal{E})$$

and hence $17 \leqslant c \leqslant 23$. Since for $a \in \{3, 4\}$ and $b = 18$ we have $c_1^2 \in \{72, 80\}$, from (6.9) we get

$$-K_W^3 \in \{192 - 8c, 208 - 8c\}.$$

If $c = 17$ and $c_1^2 = 80$, then $-K_W^3 = 72$ and from Theorem 1.3, Remarks 2.9, 2.13 and 2.15 we deduce that the threefold W is isomorphic to that constructed either in Example 2.12 or in Example 2.14. In particular, we have either $\rho(W) = 2$ or 5 which is impossible because $\rho(W) = 3$.

Furthermore, for $18 \leqslant c \leqslant 23$ we have

$$-K_W^3 \leqslant 208 - 8c \leqslant 64$$

and by the Riemann–Roch formula and Kawamata–Vieweg Vanishing Theorem

$$\dim |-K_W| = -\frac{1}{2}K_W^3 + 2 \leqslant 34.$$

But according to Remark 4.6 $\dim |-K_W| \geqslant 35$, a contradiction.

Suppose that $b = 18$ and $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}) = 36$. Then again $c_2' \geqslant 0$ only for $a \in \{3, 4\}$. By the previous arguments W is a weak Fano threefold such that $-K_W^3 \leqslant 56$ and hence $\dim|-K_W| \leqslant 30$ which contradicts the estimate in Remark 4.6. Thus, we get $c_2' < 0$.

Now, from (6.24) we obtain

$$\chi(V, \mathcal{E}') = (b' - 2a')(a' + 1) + a' - c_2' + 2.$$

Then $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}') \leqslant 0$ only for $a' = -2$ because $-2 \leqslant a', b' \leqslant -1$ and $c_2' < 0$.

If $a' = -2$ and $b' = -1$, then $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}') = -3 - c_2'$ and

$$c_2' = -a^2 + \frac{1}{2}ab - a + b - \chi(V, \mathcal{E}) - 1.$$

Since $b' = -1$, we have $4a \leqslant b \leqslant 17$. If $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 3$, then we have

$$c_2' \leqslant -a^2 + \frac{15}{2}a - 17 < -3.$$

If $a = 4$ and $4a \leqslant b \leqslant 16$, then we have

$$c_2' \leqslant -54 + 3b < -3.$$

Suppose that $a = 4$ and $b = 17$ (note that $c_2' = -3$ and hence $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}') = 0$ in this case). Then we have $-K_V + c_1 = 6h + 23l$ which is not nef on V. This contradicts Lemma 6.13.

Finally, if $a' = -2$ and $b' = -2$, then $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}') = -2 - c_2'$ and

$$c_2' = -a^2 + \frac{1}{2}ab - a + b - \chi(V, \mathcal{E}).$$

If $4a \leqslant b \leqslant 16$, then for $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 4$ we have

$$c_2' \leqslant -a^2 + 7a - 17 < -2.$$

Furthermore, for $b > 16$ we get $b = 18$ because $b' = -2$. Then for $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 3$ we have $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 2$, since $a' = -2$, and

$$c_2' \leqslant -a^2 + 8a - 15 < -2.$$

Suppose that $b = 18$ and $a = 4$. Then, as in the proof of the estimate $c_2' < 0$, we get that W is a weak Fano threefold such that either $-K_W^3 = 72$ or $-K_W^3 \leqslant 64$. As we have seen this is impossible. Thus, we get $\chi(V, \mathcal{E}') > 0$. □

Lemma 6.29 ([26, Claim 10.18])**.** *In the above notation, we have $H^0(V, \mathcal{E}') \neq 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $H^0(V, \mathcal{E}') = 0$. Then by Lemmas 6.25–6.28 we have $H^2(V, \mathcal{E}') \neq 0$. Furthermore, by Serre Duality

$$H^2(V, \mathcal{E}')^* \simeq H^0(V, \mathcal{E}'^* \otimes K_V) \simeq H^0(V, \mathcal{E}' \otimes \det \mathcal{E}'^* \otimes K_V).$$

But $(\det \mathcal{E}'^* \otimes K_V)^* = \mathcal{O}_V((a' + 2)h + (b' + n + 2)l)$ and hence $H^0(V, (\det \mathcal{E}'^* \otimes K_V)^*) \neq 0$, a contradiction. □

Let $s \in H^0(V, \mathcal{E}')$ be a nonzero section. If s does not vanish anywhere, then $\mathcal{E}'$ is an extension of some line bundle $\mathcal{E}_1$ by $\mathcal{O}$. But then $c_2' = 0$ which is impossible by Lemmas 6.25–6.28.

Let $\emptyset \neq Y \subset V$ be the zero locus of s. Since $c_2' < 0$, we have $\dim Y = 1$. Let $Y \sim q_1 h + q_2 l$. Then the restriction of $\mathcal{E}'$ on general curve $Z \in |h + nl|$ is

$$\mathcal{E}'|_Z = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(q_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b' - q_2).$$

By Lemma 6.14 applied to $\mathcal{E}'$ we have

$$0 \leqslant 2q_2 - b' = c_1' \cdot Z = b' \leqslant -1,$$

a contradiction. Proposition 6.20 is completely proved. □

From Propositions 6.16 and 6.20 we derive a contradiction in case when V is a surface which completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

References

[1] Bayle L. Classification des variétés complexes projectives de dimension trois dont une section hyperplane générale est une surface d'Enriques // J. Reine Angew. Math. V. 449. 1995. P. 9–63.

[2] Borisov A. Boundedness of Fano threefolds with log-terminal singularities of given index // J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo. 2001. V. 8. P. 329-342.

[3] Cheltsov I. A. Rationality of Enriques-Fano threefold of genus five // Russ. Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. 2004. V. 68(3). P. 181-194.

[4] Cheltsov I. A. Singularity of three-dimensional manifolds possessing an ample effetive divisor – a smooth surface of Kodaira dimension zero // Mat. Zametki. V. 59(4). 1996. P. 618–626.

[5] Conte A. Two examples of algebraic threefolds whose hyperplane sections are Enriques surfaces // Algebraic geometry – open problems (Ravello, 1982); Lecture Notes in Math. (Springer, Berlin, 1983). V. 997. P. 124–130.

[6] Conte A., Murre J. P. Algebraic varieties of dimension three whose hyperplane sections are Enriques surfaces // Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa CL. Sci. V. 12(1). 1985. P. 43–80.

[7] Cutkosky S. Elementary contractions of Gorenstein threefolds // Math. Ann. 1988. V. 280. P. 521-525.

[8] Dolgachev I. V. Weighted projective varieties // Lecture Notes in Math. 1982. V. 956. P. 34-71.

[9] Fano G. Sulle varieta algebriche a tre dimensione le cui sezioni iperpiane sono superficie di genere zero e bigenere uno // Mem. Mat. Sci. Fis. Natur. Soc. Ital. Sci. Ser. 3. 1938. P. 41–66.

[10] Iano-Fletcher A. R. Working with weighted complete intersections // Explicit Birational Geometry of 3-Folds (A. Corti and M. Reid, eds.). London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Sec. Cambridge Univ. Press. Cambridge 2000. V. 281. P. 101-173.

[11] Iskovskikh V. A. Anticanonical models of three-dimensional algebraic varieites // English trans. in J. Soviet Math. 1980. V. 13. P. 745-814.

[12] Iskovskikh V. A. Fano threefolds I // Math. USSR. Izv. 1977. V. 11. P. 485-527.

[13] Iskovskikh V. A. Fano threefolds II // Math. USSR. Izv. 1978. V. 12. P. 469-506.

[14] Jahnke P., Radloff I. Gorenstein Fano threefolds with base points in the anticanonical system // arXiv: math. AG0404156 (2005).

[15] Kawamata Y. The crepant blowing-up of 3-dimensional canonical singularities and its application to the degeneration of surfaces //Ann. of Math. 1988. V. 127(2). P. 93-163.

[16] Knutsen A. L., Lopez A. F., Munoz R. On the extendability of projective surface and a genus bound for Enriques-Fano threefolds // arXiv: math. AG0605750 (2006).

[17] Kollár J. Flops // Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard Univ. 1987.(Preprint).

[18] Kollár J., Mori S. Birational geometry of algebraic varieties // Cambridge Univ. Press. 1998.

[19] Kreuzer M., Skarke H. PALP: A package for analyzing lattice polytopes with applications to toric geometry // Computer Phys. Comm. 2004. V. 157. P. 87-106.

[20] Lvovskij S. M. The boundedness of the degree of Fano threefolds // English trans. Math. USSR Izv. 1982. V. 19. P. 521-558.

[21] Mori S. Flip theorem and the existence of minimal models for 3-folds // J. Amer. Math. Soc. 1988. V. 1. P. 117-253.

[22] Mori S., Mukai S. Classification of Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$ // Manuscr. Math. 1981. V. 36. P. 147-162.

[23] Namikawa Y. Smoothing Fano 3-folds // J. Algebraic Geom. 1997. V. 6. P. 307-324.

[24] Przyjalkowsky V. V., Cheltsov I.A., Shramov K. A. Hyperelliptic and triginal Fano threefolds // Russ. Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. 2005. V. 69(2). P. 145-204.

[25] Prokhorov Yu. G. On algebraic threefolds whose hyperplane sections are Enriques surfaces // Russ. Acad. Sci. Sb. Math. 1995. V. 186 (9). P. 1341-1352.

[26] Prokhorov Yu. G. On the degree of Fano threefolds with canonical Gorenstein singularities // Russ. Acad. Sci. Sb. Math. 2005. V. 196(1). P. 81-122.

[27] Prokhorov Yu. G. On Fano-Enriques threefolds // Russ. Acad. Sci. Sb. Math. 2007. V. 198(4). P. 559-574.

[28] Reid M. Canonical 3-folds // Algebraic Geometry, Angers. 1979. P. 273-310.

[29] Reid M. Chapters on algebraic surfaces // Complex algebraic geometry (Park City. Ut. 1993) P. 3-159.

[30] Reid M. Projective morphisms according to Kawamata // Preprint Univ. of Warwick. 1983.

[31] Sano T. Classification of non-Gorenstein $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3-folds of index 1 // J. Math. Soc. Japan. V. 47(2). 1995. P. 369–380.